Physicochemical and nutritional characterization of mushroom powder enriched muffins
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Abstract
At global level many species of eatable mushrooms have been used for diet and medication purposes. In addition to its dietetic value mushroom have many medicinal importance because mushroom is used against several viral, bacterial and cancer diseases. Mushroom powder also used to reduce blood pressure and increase resistance of person against many diseases. Keeping in perspective the position of dietary and medicinal values of mushroom in this research mushroom powder was added into muffins to improve nutritious and dietetic status of muffin. To achieve desired objective mushroom powder was mixed with wheat flour to improve sensory attributes of muffins. The proximate analysis of mushroom based powder and wheat flour was also performed. After this loaf weight and volume, structural examination, sensory attributes of muffin was also performed. The effect of mushroom powder on moisture, crude protein crude fat and nitrogen free extract of muffin were non-significant and effect on ash and crude fiber were significant when 0%, 10%, 20%, 30% and 40% mushroom powder based muffin were prepared. The effect of mushroom powder supplementations on loaf weight of muffin was significant and resulted in gradual decrease. However, the effect on loaf volume of muffins was non-significant. The effects of mushroom powder on texture and color value of muffin were highly significant. The results of sensory evaluation showed that muffin prepared with 10% mushroom powder have high sensory score while muffins prepared with 40% mushroom powder had very low sensory score.
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Introduction
At global level many species of eatable mushrooms have been used for diet and medication purposes. Mushroom is the best from nutrition point of view concerning human health, diet and its can also be used for hindrance of infection (Chang, 1996) [7, 8]. Mushroom basically is the variety of molds which frequently produce in cool areas and it frequently spread through spores to the different places. Mushroom is useful for diet manufactured goods which are utilized into raw forms and it also used into different food products through process of cooking. Recently, mushroom are consumed due to its typical flavor, better quality and it also help in many disease prevention (Kaul, 2001) [18].

In 2007 mushroom consumption at global level was 3.4 million tonnes per year. This mushroom consumption rise about 56% since 1997. Many types of mushroom species which are utilized as food belong to family Basidiomycetes and Agaricaeae. Mushroom plant cannot produce own food because it has not chloroplast. (Srivastava and Kumar, 2002) [28]. Mushroom (Auricularia auricular) is grown in many countries for food as well as medicinal purposes. It was first cultivated in China around 6000A.D. After this, mushroom cultivation started in Europe countries. There are almost 12000 species of mushroom in which 2000 species are edible and 200 species are used for medical purposes. Most important cultivated types of mushrooms are Agaricus bisporus (button mushroom), Lentinus edodes (shiitake), Pleurotus spp (oyster mushroom), Auricularia auricular (wood mushroom), Flammulina velutipes (winter mushroom) and Volvariella volvacea (straw mushroom) (Aida et al., 2009) [3].

Dietary supplements that are obtained from mushroom are fruiting bodies of mushroom and consumed in form of capsules, tablets and extract (Wasser et al., 2000) [32]. Mushroom is an excellent source of protein (44%), crude fiber (7%) and minerals (4%). Calcium, manganese, magnesium and iron are important minerals which are found in
Mushroom also contains 27% moisture content and 18% carbohydrate content. The two most important bioactive components in mushrooms are adenosine and cordycepin. The total amino acid content in mushroom ranges from 35 to 37%. Glutamic acid and aspartic acid are two important amino acid found in mushroom. (Hsu et al., 2002) [14]. Mushroom powder is added in muffin to fulfill specific nutrient deficiency. Normally, bakery products like muffin do not contain high protein so mushroom powder is added to increase their protein content. Mushroom powder improves taste and flavor and sensory properties of muffins. Mushroom powder is also excellent source of vitamin D, selenium, chromium. It has effects on physical and chemical properties of muffin loaf. It increases muffin firmness by decreasing size of loaf (Corey et al., 2009) [19]. Furthermore, mushroom also provide resistance and immunity against sleeplessness, tumor growth, diabetes, asthma, cholesterol reduction, allergies and anxiety (Wang et al., 2000) [30, 31]. Drying is a relatively cost-effective method than other approaches of preservation. The key advantage of drying is that if dried mushrooms are filled in sealed jars, its shelf life will be more than one year. Drying rate is influenced by many factors including thickness of the mushroom, moisture diffusivity, temperature and method of drying. Solar drying may be considered as an expansion of sun drying. It is a competent system of consuming solar energy. (Yapar et al., 1990) [33]. Muffins are utilized in routine diet in the whole world and it is one of the most nutritious product in bakery products. Muffin is very less expensive bakery product. It has also many beneficial properties. Muffins are available in market into different forms, have good taste and flavor. For fortification of different nutrients like protein, fat, fiber baker always mix mushroom powder because mushroom powder is excellent source of valuable nutrients in food products (Ibrahim and Hegazy, 2014) [16]. Main ingredients of muffin are white flour, oil, sugar, eggs, baking powder and whole milk. Muffin which is cereal based product is naturally low in protein. Its protein fortification can be used to improve the nutritional health of the people and can be used to reduce protein malnutrition in developing countries (Badifu et al., 2005) [4]. Keeping in perspective the position of dietary and medicinal mushroom, this study is designed to enhance dietary and physicochemical properties of mushroom powder enriched muffins and to find out the nutritional profiling of mushroom enriched muffins and assessment of their antioxidant potential.

Materials and Methods

Procurement of raw material

Research was carried out at National Institute of Food Science and Technology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad. Oyster mushroom was purchased from mushroom lab of institute of Horticultural Science, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad. Remaining raw material was purchased from local market.

Development of mushroom powder

Drying of mushroom was done with dehydrator at temperature 54°C for 25 minutes. After this dried mushroom was converted into powder form with help of grinder.

Proximate analysis

Proximate analysis of white flour and mushroom powder were performed according to their respective methods described in AACC (2000) [1].

Moisture content

The moisture content of white flour was measured according to Method no. 44-15 A, as described in AACC (2000) [1]. The flour was taken into three separate china dishes, weighed and then placed the sample in hot air oven for 24 hours at 105 °C for drying. Then sample was again weighed. After this sample was placed again in oven till constant weight. The following formula was used to calculate the percentage of moisture:

\[
\text{Moisture} (\%) = \frac{\text{wt. of original sample} \times \text{wt. of dried sample}}{\text{Weight of original sample}} \times 100
\]

Ash content

The ash content of white flour sample was determined by the method no. 08-01, as described AACC (2000) [1]. Quantity of 3g of dried white flour sample was taken in a crucible and heated on a flame till it become smokeless. The crucible was placed into muffle furnace at 550 °C for 4 hours till the sample become greyish white residues. The sample was cooled in a desiccator and weighed. The ash in the sample was calculated according to this formula:

\[
\text{Ash} (\%) = \frac{\text{Weight of residue after incineration}}{\text{Weight of sample}} \times 100
\]

Crude fat

The crude fat was determined according to method no. 30-25 as described in AACC (2000) [1]. The soxhlet apparatus was used to determine fat contents. Crude fat from 5g of flour was extracted with hexane at a condensation rate of 2-3 drops/sec for 16 hours. After distillation extraction flask with excess hexane residue was dried at 100 °C for 30 minutes, until a constant weight obtained. Crude fat was calculated by using the following formula as given below:

\[
\text{Fat} (\%) = \frac{\text{Wt. of ether extract}}{\text{Wt. of sample}} \times 100
\]

Crude fiber

The white flour samples were tested after fat extraction for determination of crude fiber content by following the Method no. 32-10, as described in AACC (2000) [1]. Quantity of 2g fat free white flour sample was taken in a 500ml capacity beaker and 200ml of 1.25% sulphuric acid was added to it and level of beaker was marked. The contents of the beaker were filled for 30 minutes. The contents were filtered and 2-3 washing with hot water were given till it becomes acid free. The residues were transferred to 500ml beaker again and 200ml of 1.25% NaOH was added to it. The contents were again boiled for 30 minutes. The contents were filtered and 2-3 washing with hot water were given until it became alkali free. The residues were carefully transferred to a china dish and dried in an oven at 100 °C for 3-4 hours until constant weight was obtained. The contents were heated on flame until the smoke stopped to come out of the sample. Then the sample was placed in a muffle furnace at 550 °C for 4 hour until a greyish ash was obtained, then cooled in desiccator and weighed. The difference in weight was calculated as crude fiber using the following formula:
Crude fiber (%) = \frac{weight of residue after drying - weight on ignition \times 100}{weight of sample}

Crude protein

The percentage of nitrogen content in white flour sample was determined by Kjeldahl method as described in AACC (2000) \[1\] Method no. 46-10. The white flour sample 2g was taken in digestion flask and 5g digestion mixture and 30mL conc. sulphuric acid was added. Then the sample was heated and digested for 2-3 hours till light green color appeared. After this mixture was taken in 250 mL flask and volume was made by adding distal water 10mL, from dilution which was taken in Kjeldhal apparatus and 10 mL of NaoH was added in it. After this another beaker 10mL boric acid was taken and 1-2 drops of methyl red indicator were added. Steam was provided and ammonia gas was trapped in boric acid. This process was continued for 2 minutes when red color of boric acid was changed to golden. The boric acid was titrated with 0.1N sulphuric acid solutions till light golden yellow point. The nitrogen percentage was calculated by following formula:

\[ N \text{ (%) } = \frac{\text{Titer of } 0.1 \text{ N H}_2\text{SO}_4 \text{ used } \times 0.0014 \times 250 \times 100}{\text{Weight of sample } \times \text{Vol. of aliquot sample}} \]

Nitrogen free extract (NFE)

NFE was calculated by subtracting the percentage of moisture, ash, crude protein, fat and crude fiber from as formula by Mahamud et al. (2012) \[2\].

\[ \text{NFE (%) } = 100\% - (\text{moisture } \% + \text{crude protein } \% + \text{crude fat } \% + \text{crude fiber } \% + \text{ash } \%) \]

Product development

White flour in muffin was replaced by mushroom powder as described in treatments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Mushroom powder (%)</th>
<th>White flour (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(T_0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_1)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_2)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_3)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_4)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(T_0\) will be considered as control with no mushroom powder.

Muffin preparation

Muffins were prepared with supplemented blends and with control treatment as mentioned in table by the following method

- Egg: 10
- Sugar: 450g
- Oil: 1/2L
- White flour: 1kg
- Baking powder: 30g
- Whole milk: 400ml

Sugar and oil were mixed in mixing pan and shake well for 6 minutes. Then egg, flour and baking powder was added and shaken well till grains of sugar became completely dissolved. Liquid milk was added in pan and thoroughly mixed for 2 minutes till viscous batter was obtained. Add any color and flavor in batter. Butter paper was placed in muffin pans and then batter was placed with spoon. Each pan filled with 1/2 to 2/3 of batter. 12 pan were placed in baking tray. Baking tray was placed in the oven at 175 °C for 15-20 minutes. After this baking tray was removed from oven and muffins were cooled at room temperature (Aguilera et al., 2009) \[2\].

Chemical analysis of muffin

Muffin was analyzed for the proximate analysis which includes moisture, ash, crude protein, crude fat, crude fiber and NFE according to their respective methods described by AACC (2000) \[1\] as described earlier.

Physical analysis of muffin

Loaf weight and volume

Loaf weights and volumes of muffins was measured after 1 hour removal from the oven. Loaf was weighed using an electronic balance and loaf volume was measured by rapeseed displacement method given in AACC (2000) \[1\]. Each loaf was put in a container and covered with rapeseeds totally filled the container. Then the loaf was removed and the volume of rapeseed was recorded by the method of Keskin et al., (2007) \[16\]. Specific volume was measured by dividing loaf weight to loaf volume.

Texture analysis

The textural study of muffin was conducted by using Texture analyzer with a 5kg load cell as described by Piga et al., (2005) \[26\]. It is an automatic equipment having software attached which gives the measurements of the hardness and resistance of the muffin to bend or snap. The Texture Expert program version 4 was used for data analysis. Texture analyzer has three- point bending rig (HDP/3BP) using 5 kg load cell heavy duty platform (HDP/90). Three muffins of each treatment were analyzed for the hardness (firmness) and fracturability.

Scanning analysis of muffins

Scanning electron microscopy analysis of muffin samples was done by SEM (Hitachi 2380N, Ibaraki, Japan) equipped with field emission gun, a back secondary electron detector from central Hi-Tech Laboratory of University of Agriculture, Faisalabad according to method of Kim et al. (2003) \[20\] to determine the pore size description and micro-structural features related texture of muffin.

Color of muffin

The muffin crust and crumb color was determined by colorimeter according to method described by Rocha and Morais (2003) \[27\] with some modifications. It was first calibrated with the standards (54 °C/Tn for dark and 151 °C/Tn for light). After this muffin sample were filled in petri plates, to get the optimum reflection of light, emerged by the photo cells of the color meter, reading was noted from display.

Sensory evaluation of muffin

The prepared muffin loaves were evaluated by a panel of judges for external characteristics such as volume, crust color, symmetry of form, evenness of bake and internal characteristics like grain, crumb color, aroma, taste and texture by following the method of Land and Shepherd (1998) \[21\].

Statistical analysis

Standard statistical procedure was applied according to method described by Steel et al., (1997) \[29\].
Results and Discussion
Mushroom was dehydrated with help of dehydrator. After dehydration mushroom was changed in mushroom powder. The proximate analysis of mushroom powder and wheat flour was also performed. After this loaf weight, volume, structural examination and sensory attributes of muffin were also performed.

Proximate analysis of white flour and mushroom powder
Proximate composition of white flour and mushroom powder are shown in the Table 2. Wheat flour contained 12.50±0.43% moisture, 0.35±0.01% ash, 9.45±0.03% crude protein, 0.30±0.01% crude fiber, 1.25±0.04% crude fat and 76.15±2.66% NFE. Likewise, mushroom powder contained 7.36±0.29% moisture, 6.09±0.21% ash, 28.69±1.09% crude protein, 8.16±0.28% crude fiber, 1.88±0.04% crude fat and 47.82±1.84% NFE respectively. These values lie within the range mentioned by Dikeman et al., (2005) [11]. The values of wheat flour and mushroom powder are according to the range mentioned by Bano and Rajarathnian (1998) [3].

Chemical analysis of muffin
Moisture content
The analysis of variance regarding moisture of different supplemented muffin is shown in Table 3. It is obvious from the table that moisture content was not significantly affected by supplementation of mushroom powder in muffin at different levels. The mean values of moisture for muffin treatments T0, T1, T2, T3 and T4 were 7.86%, 7.75%, 7.70%, 7.65% and 7.60% respectively as shown in Table 3. The results revealed that moisture content in muffin obtai

Ash content
The analysis of variance regarding ash content of different supplemented muffin is shown in Table 3. The mean values of ash in muffin treatments T0, T1, T2, T3 and T4 were 0.35%, 0.36%, 0.38%, 0.39% and 0.41% respectively as shown in Table 4.3. The ash content is generally influenced by environmental conditions and malnutrition stages of wheat grains. It is influenced by the level of supplementation of wheat flour with other

Crude protein
It is obvious from Table 3 that protein content in all types of muffin supplemented with mushroom powder increased by increasing the level of mushroom powder. The analysis of variance regarding protein content of different supplemented muffin is shown in Table 2. The mean values of crude protein in muffin treatments T0, T1, T2, T3 and T4 were 9.45%, 9.47%, 9.51%, 9.55% and 9.59% respectively as shown in Table 3.Hesham et al., (2007) [12] reported that total protein content in biscuits only 0.64% with each increment of mushroom powder. Hong et al., (2005) [13] conducted study on the effect of mushroom powder on bread baking. In this study they reported that level of protein increased in bread with increase of mushroom powder level that may be due to high level protein content in mushroom powder

Crude fiber
The statistical results presented in Table 3 indicated that the fat content in muffin supplemented with mushroom powder not varied significantly due to variation in supplementation levels. The effect of mushroom powder supplementation level on the fat content of different mushroom powder supplemented muffin is given in Table 3. The results of the present study were similar to the results obtained by study of Hesham et al. 2007 [12] who reported that fat content were increased by the addition of mushroom powder and legume seeds.

Nitrogen free extract
The statistical analysis for nitrogen free extract (NFE) of the mushroom powder supplemented muffin are shown in Table 4.9. The mean values of NFE in muffin treatments T0, T1, T2, T3 and T4 were 76.15%, 76.09%, 75.38%, 75.28% and 75.17% respectively as shown in Table 3 The results revealed that there was not significant effect of mushroom powder supplementation on the NFE of muffin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Mushroom powder</th>
<th>White flour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>7.36±0.29</td>
<td>12.50±0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>6.09±0.21</td>
<td>0.35±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein</td>
<td>28.69±1.09</td>
<td>9.45±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fiber</td>
<td>8.16±0.28</td>
<td>0.30±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fat</td>
<td>1.88±0.04</td>
<td>1.25±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFE</td>
<td>47.82±1.84</td>
<td>76.15±2.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Mean of proximate analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>T0</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>4.46±0.37</td>
<td>12.51±0.50</td>
<td>13.12±0.26</td>
<td>13.14±0.66</td>
<td>13.17±0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>9.45±0.28</td>
<td>9.47±0.37</td>
<td>9.51±0.19</td>
<td>9.55±0.47</td>
<td>9.59±0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>0.35±0.01</td>
<td>0.36±0.01</td>
<td>0.38±0.01</td>
<td>0.39±0.02</td>
<td>0.41±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat</td>
<td>1.25±0.04</td>
<td>1.26±0.05</td>
<td>1.28±0.02</td>
<td>1.30±0.06</td>
<td>1.31±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber</td>
<td>0.30±0.01</td>
<td>0.31±0.05</td>
<td>0.33±0.03</td>
<td>0.34±0.06</td>
<td>0.35±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFE</td>
<td>76.15±2.76</td>
<td>76.09±1.59</td>
<td>75.38±0.98</td>
<td>75.28±3.08</td>
<td>75.17±2.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Physical analysis of muffin

Loaf weight and volume (rapeseed displacement method)

The statistical analysis regarding the loaf volume of muffin prepared from the mushroom powder supplemented composite flour is given in Table 4. The mean of muffin loaf volume for treatments T0, T1, T2, T3 and T4 were 250, 254, 259, 252 and 255 respectively as shown in Table 4. The results indicated that loaf volume of muffin was affected nonsignificantly by different level of mushroom powder. Specific loaf volume is measured by dividing volume of muffin loaf to the weight of muffin loaf. The analysis of variance regarding specific volume is shown in Table 4. The mean of loaf weight in muffin treatments T0, T1, T2, T3 and T4 were 37.33, 38.45, 40.21, 41.23 and 42.11 respectively as shown in Table 4. The protein quantity, alpha amylase activity and damaged starch might have significant effect on muffin volume and baking quality for composite flours (Butt et al., 1997) [6]. It is clear from the studies of Okafor et al., (2012) [24] that values of specific loaf volume decreased by addition of mushroom powder.

Table 4: Mean of physical analysis of muffins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>T0</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loaf volume(cm³)</td>
<td>155±7</td>
<td>150±7</td>
<td>147±7</td>
<td>145±7.6</td>
<td>141±7.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loaf weight (g)</td>
<td>37.33±1.12</td>
<td>38.45±1.15</td>
<td>40.21±1.20</td>
<td>41.23±1.23</td>
<td>42.11±1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texture</td>
<td>0.55±0.01</td>
<td>0.64±0.01</td>
<td>0.71±0.02</td>
<td>0.67±0.02</td>
<td>0.66±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color A</td>
<td>1.03±0.03</td>
<td>-1.68±0.05</td>
<td>1.14±0.03</td>
<td>-2.52±0.07</td>
<td>-1.41±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color B</td>
<td>19.31±0.57</td>
<td>17.90±0.53</td>
<td>13.66±0.41</td>
<td>14.93±0.44</td>
<td>14.05±0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color Hue</td>
<td>96.60±2.89</td>
<td>-6.75±0.23</td>
<td>94.69±2.8</td>
<td>-89.35±2.6</td>
<td>-93.63±2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color L</td>
<td>52.55±1.57</td>
<td>53.21±1.59</td>
<td>47.87±1.43</td>
<td>47.79±1.43</td>
<td>46.67±1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color chroma</td>
<td>19.33±0.58</td>
<td>17.97±0.53</td>
<td>13.70±0.41</td>
<td>15.14±0.45</td>
<td>14.12±0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Textural characteristics of muffin

The variation of mushroom powder (0%, 10%, 20%, 30%, and 40%) in muffin had significant effect on the texture of muffin as shown in Table 4.13. The mean texture values of muffins treatments T1, T2, T3 and T4 were 0.55, 0.64, 0.71, 0.67 and 0.66 respectively as shown in Table 4.

Color

The color of muffin was determined with the help of color meter as described by Rocha and Morais (2003) [23]. The muffins prepared from 40% supplementation of mushroom powder in wheat flour got minimum color value. The color value of muffin treatments T0, T1, T2, T3 and T4 were 1.03, -1.68, 1.14, -2.52 and -1.41 respectively as shown in Table 4.

Scanning analysis of muffins

The following are images of muffin under scanning electron microscope at different angles which shows pore size description and micro-structural features related to texture of muffin.

Sensory evaluation of muffins

The sensory evaluation of muffin for various attributes such as volume, color, symmetry of formation, evenness of bake, character of crust, grain, and color of crumb, aroma, taste and texture was carried out. The product was evaluated by panel of judges and the results are described below.

External characteristics

Volume

The results revealed that the scores assigned to volume of muffin samples prepared from mushroom powder supplementation. The statistical analysis for scores assigned to volume of muffin samples prepared from mushroom powder supplemented in straight grade flour is presented in Table 5. The mean values of treatments of muffin T0, T1, T2, T3 and T4 were 9.25, 8.23, 8.10, 7.38 and 7.34 respectively as shown in Table 5. Replacement of wheat flour with non-wheat flour had certain negative effect on muffin volume. Iqbal (2007) [17] also found that incorporation of mushroom powder in wheat flour significantly reduce the score for volume of muffin. Mc Walter et al., (2004) [23] also reported that incorporation of mushroom powder in dough had certain negative effect on muffin volume.

Color of crust

Statistical analysis for scores assigned to crust color of muffins prepared from powder supplemented composite flours is presented in Table 5. The mean value of mushroom treatments T0, T1, T2, T3 and T4 were 9.19, 8.39, 7.89, 7.12 and 7.10 respectively as shown in Table 5. The scores assigned to crust color of muffins were significantly affected by the supplementation of mushroom powder. Hussain (2004) [15] found that there was a progressive decrease in assigning the scores to the crust color of muffins as the wheat flour replaced by non-wheat flour. Okafor et al., (2012) [24] reported that scores for color of crust decreased by increasing the level of mushroom powder from control (100% wheat flour) to T4 (15% mushroom powder).
Symmetry of form
The mean values of muffin treatments T₀, T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₄ were 9.10, 8.95, 8.17, 7.69 and 7.12 respectively as shown in Table 5 prepared form 40% mushroom powder supplemented wheat flour. The scores for symmetry of form were assigned to be the lowest to the muffins prepared form 40% mushroom powder supplemented wheat flour. Iqbal (2007) [17] also found that incorporation of mushroom powder in wheat flour significantly reduce the score for symmetry of form of muffin.

Evenness of bake
The statistical analysis for evenness of bake score of muffin prepared from the mushroom powder supplemented composite flour are presented in the Table 5. The mean values of muffin evenness of bake treatments T₀, T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₄ were9.25, 8.98, 8.17, 7.84 and 7.11 respectively as shown in Table 5. Chavan et al., (1991) [8] observed that the score assigning to evenness of bake decreased as the supplementation level of peanut flour increased.

Characteristics of crust
The statistical results concerning scores allocated to character of crust of muffins prepared from mushroom powder supplemented wheat flour are presented in Table 5 The mean values of muffin treatments T₀, T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₄ were9.60, 8.80, 8.12, 7.95 and 7.13 respectively as shown in Table 5.

Color of crumb
The statistical results for crumb color of muffin prepared from different levels of mushroom powder in Table5. The mean values of crumb color of muffin treatments T₀, T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₄ were9.80, 8.45, 8.12, 7.70 and 7.16 respectively as shown in Table 5.

Table 5: Means of external characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>T0</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>8.25±0.51</td>
<td>8.23±0.32</td>
<td>8.10±0.40</td>
<td>7.38±0.29</td>
<td>7.34±0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color crust</td>
<td>8.19±0.51</td>
<td>8.39±0.33</td>
<td>7.89±0.15</td>
<td>7.12±0.35</td>
<td>7.10±0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symmetry form</td>
<td>8.10±0.27</td>
<td>8.95±0.35</td>
<td>8.17±0.16</td>
<td>7.69±0.38</td>
<td>7.12±0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evenness of bake</td>
<td>8.52±0.28</td>
<td>8.98±0.35</td>
<td>8.17±0.16</td>
<td>7.84±0.39</td>
<td>7.11±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Character of crust</td>
<td>8.60±0.28</td>
<td>8.80±0.35</td>
<td>8.12±0.16</td>
<td>7.95±0.39</td>
<td>7.13±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crumb color</td>
<td>8.80±0.29</td>
<td>8.45±0.33</td>
<td>8.12±0.16</td>
<td>7.70±0.38</td>
<td>7.16±0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Internal characteristics

Grain
The statistical results regarding the scores assigned to grain of muffins prepared from mushroom powder supplemented in wheat flour are presented in Table 6. The mean values of grain muffins treatments T₀, T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₄ were9.12, 8.89, 8.12 7.88 and 7.22 respectively as shown in Table 6. The results indicated that there was a progressive decrease in scores assigned to grain of muffins as the supplementation level of mushroom powder increased.

Aroma
The results pertaining to analysis of variance relating to aroma of muffins prepared from different levels of mushroom powder supplementation showed that supplementation levels significantly affected the scores given to aroma of muffins Table 4.28. The mean values of aroma muffin treatments T₀, T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₄ were9.11, 8.84, 8.11, 7.34 and 7.22 respectively as shown in Table 6.

Texture
The analysis of variance regarding texture of muffins prepared from different composite flours is given in Table 6. The mean values of texture muffins treatments T₀, T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₄ were 9.10, 8.76, 8.11, 7.44 and 7.11 respectively as shown in Table 6.The results indicated that scores given to texture of muffins differed significantly due to differences in supplementation level of mushroom powder in wheat flour.

Taste
The statistical results for scores allocated to taste of the muffin samples prepared from different mushroom powder wheat flour blends presented in Table 6. The mean values of taste muffin treatments T₀, T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₄ were 9.16, 8.77, 8.11, 7.32 and 7.10 respectively as shown in Table 6. The results showed that the muffins prepared from 100% wheat flour got the highest scores for taste by the panelists. There was a decline in assigning the scores to muffins by increasing the level of mushroom powder supplementation in wheat flour. Okafor et al., (2012) [28] reported that scores allocated to taste of bread decreased as the level of mushroom powder supplementation increased.

Table 6: Mean of internal characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>T0</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grain</td>
<td>8.12±0.27</td>
<td>8.89±0.35</td>
<td>8.12±0.16</td>
<td>7.88±0.39</td>
<td>7.22±0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aroma</td>
<td>8.11±0.27</td>
<td>8.84±0.35</td>
<td>8.11±0.32</td>
<td>7.34±0.29</td>
<td>7.22±0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texture</td>
<td>8.10±0.27</td>
<td>8.76±0.35</td>
<td>8.11±0.16</td>
<td>7.44±0.37</td>
<td>7.11±0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taste</td>
<td>8.16±0.27</td>
<td>8.77±0.26</td>
<td>8.11±0.24</td>
<td>7.32±0.22</td>
<td>7.10±0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions
Wheat flour was supplemented with different levels of mushroom powder for muffin production to improve its protein quality and quantity. Muffin prepared by supplementation of mushroom powder was subjected to physico-chemical analysis and sensory evaluation. Proximate study of mushroom enriched muffin showed that mushroom powder did not have considerable effect on moisture content of muffin. Ash content, crude protein, crude fiber and crude fat are significantly effect with increased in mushroom powder. Mushroom powder significantly affects color, volume and texture of muffin. Values of color was observed on muffin from control (100% wheat flour) has maximum color value and its value decreased gradually as the level of mushroom powder supplementation increased in wheat flour. Volume of muffin also decreased with increase in level of mushroom powder. Loaf weight increased with increased in mushroom powder. The sensory characteristics of muffin showed that treatment had significant effect on volume, color of crust, Symmetry of form, evenness of bake, character of crust, grain, and color of crumb, aroma, taste and texture. Significantly lower total scores for muffin were exhibited by the flour supplementation with 10% and 20% mushroom powder. Highest scores were obtained by control muffin.
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