



International Journal of Fauna and Biological Studies

Available online at www.faunajournal.com

I
J
F
B
S

International
Journal of
Fauna And
Biological
Studies

ISSN 2347-2677

IJFBS 2017; 4(1): 57-60

Received: 22-11-2016

Accepted: 23-12-2016

A Shabir

Sher-e-Kashmir University of
Agricultural Sciences and
Technology of Kashmir
Division of Vegetable Science,
Shalimar Campus, Srinagar,
Jammu and Kashmir, India

SH Wani

Sher-e-Kashmir University of
Agricultural Sciences and
Technology of Kashmir
Division of Vegetable Science,
Shalimar Campus, Srinagar,
Jammu and Kashmir, India

SH Khan

Biotechnology division, Central
Institute of Temperate
Horticulture, Old Air Field
Rangreth, Srinagar, Jammu and
Kashmir, India

N Jabeen

Biotechnology division, Central
Institute of Temperate
Horticulture, Old Air Field
Rangreth, Srinagar, Jammu and
Kashmir, India

Productivity of chilli (var. Kashmir long) as influenced by organic and inorganic nutrient management

A Shabir, SH Wani, SH Khan and N Jabeen

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir during Kharif 2013 and Kharif 2014 with an objective to assess effectiveness of organic and inorganic nutrient package for enhancing growth and yield in chilli. The experiment was carried out in randomized block design with eighteen treatments i.e. organic, inorganic and combinations of organic and inorganic sources. The organic sources used were: Farmyard manure, Sheep manure, Poultry manure, Vermicompost, Biofertilizers and integrated with 50%, 75% and 100% recommended fertilizer dose in the form of chemical fertilizer. Results revealed that Treatment T14 (RFD 75% + Poultry manure) recorded significantly higher values for plant height (58.06), plant spread (53.77) and whereas T17 (RFD 75% + Farmyard manure + Sheep manure + Poultrymanure + Vermicompost + Biofertilizers) recorded significantly higher values for number of fruits per plant (58.42), fruit length (10.41 cm), fruit girth (1.92 cm), average fruit weight (7.92 g) and red ripe fruit yield per hectare (173.42 q). It also recorded lowest days to 50% maturity of fruits (96.92 days).

Keywords: Chilli, organic, inorganic nutrient management

Introduction

S Chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.) popularly known as “King of spices” is one of the important commercial crop of India. It is used in food and beverage industries for its oleoresin which imparts characteristic colour and flavour to food. Hence, chilli finds diverse utility as a spice, condiment, culinary supplement and vegetable. Being a long duration crop, requires proper manuring and fertilizing in the surface soil is because of its shallow root system, for attaining high yields and quality produce (Bidari, 2000) [2]. Among various factors responsible for low production of chilli, nutrient management is of prime importance for maintaining higher yield and soil fertility. It has been reported that neither the chemical fertilizer alone nor the organic manure are able to sustain the crop productivity and soil fertility. The increasing use of chemical fertilizers to increase vegetable production has been widely recognized but its long run impact on soil health, ecology and other natural resources are detrimental which affect living organisms including beneficial soil microorganism and human being. The escalating prices of chemical fertilizers and its detrimental impact on the soil, environment and human health urged the farmer to adoption of integrated plant nutrient that offers the sustainable crop production and soil fertility (Sentiyangla *et al.*, 2010) [7]. Besides fertilizers, there are several sources of plant nutrients like organic manures, biofertilizers etc. These nutrients sources not only reduce quantity of chemical fertilizers but also improve soil fertility (Chumyani *et al.*, 2012) [3]. Use of organic manures in INM help mitigating multiple nutrient deficiencies. Application of organic manures provides better environment for growth and development and in addition improves physical, chemical and biological properties of soil (Avitoli *et al.*, 2012) [1]. Therefore the present investigation was undertaken to study the effect of organic, inorganic and biofertilizers for growth and yield improvement in chillies.

Material and Methods

A field experiment was conducted at Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir during Kharif 2013 and Kharif 2014. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design, with eighteen treatments replicated thrice. Treatments were as follows:

T1: Control No Organic/Chemical fertilizers

T2: Farmyard manure

Correspondence

A Shabir

Sher-e-Kashmir University of
Agricultural Sciences and
Technology of Kashmir
Division of Vegetable Science,
Shalimar Campus, Srinagar,
Jammu and Kashmir, India

- T3: Sheep manure
- T4: Poultry manure
- T5: Vermicompost
- T6: Recommended fertilizer dose 50% + Farmacyard manure
- T7: Recommended fertilizer dose 50% + Sheep manure
- T8: Recommended fertilizer dose 50% + Poultry manure
- T9: Recommended fertilizer dose 50% + Vermicompost
- T10: Recommended fertilizer dose 50% + Biofertilizers
- T11: Recommended fertilizer dose 50% + Farmacyard manure + Sheep manure + Poultrymanure + Vermicompost + Biofertilizers
- T12: Recommended fertilizer dose 75% + Farmacyard manure
- T13: Recommended fertilizer dose 75% + Sheep manure
- T14: Recommended fertilizer dose 75% + Poultry manure
- T15: Recommended fertilizer dose 75% + Vermicompost
- T16: Recommended fertilizer dose 75% + Biofertilizers
- T17: Recommended fertilizer dose 75% + Farmacyard manure + Sheep manure + Poultry manure + Vermicompost + Biofertilizers
- T18: Recommended fertilizer dose

The crop was raised with a spacing of 30cm x 45cm. Standard recommended cultural practices were followed and fertilizers were applied as per treatments. Biofertilizers Azotobacter and Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria @ 2.5 kg ha⁻¹ each was applied as soil application. Data on growth characters, yield attributes and yield were recorded. Growth parameters

presented in (Table 1) revealed that among sole application of organic treatment T4 (Poultry manure) recorded highest values of 51.00 cm, 45.97 cm for plant height and plant spread respectively followed by T3 (Sheep manure) and T5 (Vermicompost). However pooled data revealed that maximum value for plant height (58.06 cm), plant spread (53.77 cm) was observed in T14 (RFD 75% + Poultry manure) followed by T17 (RFD 75% + Farmacyard manure + Sheep manure + Poultry manure + Vermicompost + Biofertilizers) These results are in line with Jose *et al.* 1988. The data presented in Table 2, 3 and 4 revealed that among sole application of organic manures T4 (Poultry manure) recorded highest values for number of fruits per plant (52.91), fruit length (8.11 cm), fruit girth (1.73 cm) average fruit weight (6.89 g), red ripe fruit yield per hectare (148.25 q) and lower value for days to 50 per cent fruit maturity (92.06) followed by T3 (Sheep manure) and T5 (Vermicompost). However pooled data revealed higher values for number of fruits per plant (58.42), fruit length (10.41cm), fruit girth (1.94 cm) average fruit weight (7.92 g), red ripe fruit yield per hectare (173.43 q) and lower value for days to 50 per cent fruit maturity (85.92) was observed in treatment T17 (RFD 75% + Farmacyard manure + Sheep manure + Poultry manure + Vermicompost + Biofertilizers). These results obtained in present study are in line with those of Harikrishna *et al.* 2002 [4] and Malik *et al.* 2009 [6].

Table 1: Effect of Integrated nutrient management on plant height and plant spread of chilli

| Symbols | Treatments | Plant height | | | Plant spread | | |
|---------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------|----------------|----------------|--------|
| | | Kharief (2013) | Kharief (2014) | Pooled | Kharief (2013) | Kharief (2014) | Pooled |
| T1 | Control | 49.79 | 49.54 | 49.42 | 44.10 | 44.65 | 44.31 |
| T2 | Farmacyard Manure | 50.14 | 50.00 | 50.12 | 45.09 | 45.15 | 45.10 |
| T3 | Sheep Manure | 50.85 | 50.34 | 50.40 | 45.16 | 45.53 | 45.24 |
| T4 | Poultry manure | 51.42 | 51.00 | 51.00 | 45.91 | 46.00 | 45.97 |
| T5 | Vermicompost | 51.02 | 50.71 | 50.64 | 45.88 | 45.82 | 45.80 |
| T6 | RFD 50% + Farmacyard Manure | 51.77 | 51.24 | 51.62 | 46.65 | 46.45 | 46.45 |
| T7 | RFD 50% + Sheep Manure | 52.68 | 52.00 | 52.22 | 47.05 | 46.95 | 47.12 |
| T8 | RFD 50%+ Poultry manure | 53.02 | 52.32 | 52.70 | 47.40 | 47.12 | 47.36 |
| T9 | RFD 50% + Vermicompost | 52.17 | 51.70 | 52.09 | 46.95 | 46.80 | 46.86 |
| T10 | RFD 50% + Biofertilizers | 51.32 | 51.00 | 51.42 | 46.35 | 46.25 | 46.15 |
| T11 | RFD 50% + FYM + SM + PM + VC + BF | 55.09 | 54.76 | 54.70 | 48.34 | 48.32 | 48.13 |
| T12 | RFD 75% + Farmacyard Manure | 55.13 | 54.85 | 54.87 | 50.70 | 49.84 | 49.87 |
| T13 | RFD 75% + Sheep Manure | 56.46 | 56.23 | 56.33 | 52.57 | 51.68 | 52.14 |
| T14 | RFD 75% + Poultry manure | 58.00 | 58.12 | 58.06 | 54.00 | 53.64 | 53.77 |
| T15 | RFD 75% + Vermicompost | 55.78 | 55.20 | 55.34 | 51.88 | 50.68 | 51.42 |
| T16 | RFD 75% + Biofertilizers | 53.54 | 52.75 | 53.18 | 47.89 | 47.50 | 47.59 |
| T17 | RFD 75% + FYM + SM + PM + VC + BF | 57.18 | 57.21 | 57.11 | 53.10 | 52.40 | 52.70 |
| T18 | Recommended fertilizer dose | 54.75 | 54.12 | 54.22 | 48.62 | 48.52 | 48.47 |
| | CD (p< 0.05) | 0.260 | 0.240 | 0.250 | 0.170 | 0.186 | 0.166 |

Table 2: Effect of Integrated nutrient management on number of fruits per plant and days to 50% maturity of fruit of chilli

| Symbols | Treatments | Number of fruits per plant | | | Days to 50% maturity of fruit | | |
|---------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|--------|-------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| | | Kharief (2013) | Kharief (2014) | Pooled | Kharief (2013) | Kharief (2014) | Pooled |
| T1 | Control | 50.60 | 50.00 | 50.32 | 93.52 | 93.66 | 93.58 |
| T2 | Farmacyard Manure | 52.22 | 52.36 | 52.29 | 93.25 | 93.14 | 93.17 |
| T3 | Sheep Manure | 52.55 | 52.86 | 52.72 | 92.69 | 92.25 | 92.45 |
| T4 | Poultry manure | 52.89 | 52.92 | 52.91 | 92.09 | 91.99 | 92.06 |
| T5 | Vermicompost | 52.30 | 52.47 | 52.37 | 92.75 | 92.88 | 92.82 |
| T6 | RFD 50% + Farmacyard Manure | 53.12 | 53.46 | 53.30 | 91.32 | 91.62 | 91.96 |
| T7 | RFD 50% + Sheep Manure | 53.42 | 53.72 | 53.58 | 90.22 | 90.87 | 91.35 |
| T8 | T8 RFD 50%+ Poultry manure | 53.50 | 53.81 | 53.66 | 91.30 | 91.52 | 91.42 |
| T9 | RFD 50% + Vermicompost | 53.22 | 53.51 | 53.37 | 92.24 | 91.49 | 91.88 |
| T10 | RFD 50% + Biofertilizers | 53.00 | 53.02 | 53.00 | 92.02 | 91.79 | 92.12 |
| T11 | RFD 50% + FYM + SM + PM + VC + BF | 54.22 | 54.40 | 54.33 | 90.29 | 90.45 | 90.85 |
| T12 | RFD 75% + Farmacyard Manure | 54.32 | 54.71 | 54.53 | 90.12 | 90.21 | 90.08 |

| | | | | | | | |
|-----|----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| T13 | RFD 75% + Sheep Manure | 55.58 | 55.43 | 55.51 | 89.20 | 88.89 | 89.05 |
| T14 | RFD 75% + Poultry manure | 56.23 | 56.00 | 56.14 | 86.49 | 86.89 | 86.68 |
| T15 | RFD 75% + Vermicompost | 54.91 | 55.12 | 55.05 | 89.59 | 89.35 | 89.46 |
| T16 | RFD 75% + Biofertilizers | 53.88 | 54.10 | 53.98 | 90.72 | 90.65 | 90.67 |
| T17 | RFD 75% + FYM + SM + PM +VC + BF | 58.27 | 58.54 | 58.42 | 85.70 | 86.19 | 85.92 |
| T18 | Recommended fertilizer dose | 54.72 | 54.89 | 54.77 | 89.98 | 89.72 | 89.85 |
| | CD (p< 0.05) | 0.160 | 0.153 | 0.131 | 0.130 | 0.190 | 0.163 |

Table 3: Effect of Integrated nutrient management on fruit length (cm) and fruit girth (cm) of chilli

| Symbols | Treatments | Fruit length (cm) | | | Fruit girth (cm) | | |
|---------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------|------------------|----------------|--------|
| | | Kharief (2013) | Kharief (2014) | Pooled | Kharief (2013) | Kharief (2014) | Pooled |
| T1 | Control | 7.32 | 7.52 | 7.43 | 1.67 | 1.65 | 1.67 |
| T2 | Farmyard Manure | 7.90 | 7.80 | 7.87 | 1.70 | 1.69 | 1.69 |
| T3 | Sheep Manure | 7.90 | 8.10 | 8.00 | 1.73 | 1.70 | 1.71 |
| T4 | Poultry manure | 8.00 | 8.13 | 8.11 | 1.75 | 1.72 | 1.73 |
| T5 | Vermicompost | 7.98 | 7.82 | 7.92 | 1.71 | 1.69 | 1.70 |
| T6 | RFD 50% + Farmyard Manure | 8.30 | 8.26 | 8.29 | 1.77 | 1.76 | 1.76 |
| T7 | RFD 50% + Sheep Manure | 8.42 | 8.52 | 8.49 | 1.79 | 1.78 | 1.79 |
| T8 | RFD 50% + Poultry manure | 8.58 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 1.80 | 1.79 | 1.80 |
| T9 | RFD 50% + Vermicompost | 8.32 | 8.31 | 8.32 | 1.77 | 1.77 | 1.76 |
| T10 | RFD 50% + Biofertilizers | 8.05 | 8.12 | 8.09 | 1.76 | 1.74 | 1.75 |
| T11 | RFD 50% + FYM + SM + PM + VC + BF | 9.12 | 8.80 | 8.97 | 1.83 | 1.82 | 1.83 |
| T12 | RFD 75% + Farmyard Manure | 9.18 | 8.98 | 9.09 | 1.85 | 1.83 | 1.85 |
| T13 | RFD 75% + Sheep Manure | 9.86 | 9.45 | 9.67 | 1.91 | 1.92 | 1.91 |
| T14 | RFD 75% + Poultry manure | 10.17 | 9.56 | 9.87 | 1.94 | 1.95 | 1.94 |
| T15 | RFD 75% + Vermicompost | 9.10 | 9.35 | 9.24 | 1.87 | 1.85 | 1.86 |
| T16 | RFD 75% + Biofertilizers | 8.87 | 8.76 | 8.82 | 1.81 | 1.80 | 1.82 |
| T17 | RFD 75% + FYM + SM + PM +VC + BF | 10.56 | 10.25 | 10.41 | 1.92 | 1.93 | 1.92 |
| T18 | Recommended fertilizer dose | 9.20 | 9.00 | 9.10 | 1.90 | 1.91 | 1.90 |
| | CD (p< 0.05) | 0.100 | 0.110 | 0.102 | 0.016 | 0.020 | 0.017 |

Table 4: Effect of Integrated nutrient management on average fruit weight (g) and fruit yield per hectare (q) of chilli

| Symbols | Treatments | Average fruit weight (g) | | | Fruit yield per hectare (q) | | |
|---------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|--------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------|
| | | Kharief (2013) | Kharief (2014) | Pooled | Kharief (2013) | Kharief (2014) | Pooled |
| T1 | Control | 6.71 | 6.61 | 6.67 | 141.36 | 143.22 | 142.25 |
| T2 | Farmyard Manure | 6.84 | 6.82 | 6.84 | 146.22 | 146.66 | 146.42 |
| T3 | Sheep Manure | 6.87 | 6.86 | 6.87 | 147.33 | 147.64 | 147.47 |
| T4 | Poultry manure | 6.89 | 6.87 | 6.89 | 148.12 | 148.43 | 148.25 |
| T5 | Vermicompost | 6.86 | 6.85 | 6.86 | 146.97 | 147.21 | 147.12 |
| T6 | RFD 50% + Farmyard Manure | 6.93 | 6.96 | 6.93 | 148.32 | 149.62 | 148.97 |
| T7 | RFD 50% + Sheep Manure | 6.96 | 6.99 | 6.96 | 149.44 | 150.52 | 149.98 |
| T8 | T8 RFD 50% + Poultry manure | 6.98 | 7.00 | 6.98 | 150.75 | 151.32 | 151.11 |
| T9 | RFD 50% + Vermicompost | 6.94 | 6.97 | 6.95 | 148.66 | 149.64 | 150.21 |
| T10 | RFD 50% + Biofertilizers | 6.92 | 6.94 | 6.93 | 148.21 | 148.46 | 148.30 |
| T11 | RFD 50% + FYM + SM + PM + VC + BF | 7.07 | 7.10 | 7.10 | 153.12 | 151.97 | 152.53 |
| T12 | RFD 75% + Farmyard Manure | 7.09 | 7.10 | 7.15 | 153.99 | 152.44 | 153.21 |
| T13 | RFD 75% + Sheep Manure | 7.24 | 7.35 | 7.30 | 156.68 | 155.54 | 156.12 |
| T14 | RFD 75% + Poultry manure | 7.57 | 7.65 | 7.62 | 163.33 | 162.33 | 162.82 |
| T15 | RFD 75% + Vermicompost | 7.12 | 7.22 | 7.19 | 154.67 | 153.33 | 153.97 |
| T16 | RFD 75% + Biofertilizers | 7.00 | 7.12 | 7.07 | 152.22 | 151.55 | 151.86 |
| T17 | RFD 75% + FYM + SM + PM +VC + BF | 7.93 | 7.88 | 7.92 | 173.96 | 172.89 | 173.43 |
| T18 | Recommended fertilizer dose | 7.10 | 7.16 | 7.13 | 154.52 | 153.12 | 153.75 |
| | CD (p< 0.05) | 0.060 | 0.050 | 0.060 | 0.710 | 0.752 | 0.721 |

Thus the present study revealed that integration of organic manures with inorganic fertilizers (75%) exhibited superiority over sole application of organics and inorganics with respect to almost all the characters of the main crop and soil health under study. Long-term experimentation may be necessary to elucidate beneficial effects of the organics, especially, on aspects relating to soil health. Nonetheless, a gradual shift away from the chemical to organic practices seems a prudent choice for sustained crop production and the superior quality of produce.

References

1. Avitoli K, Singh AK, Kanaujia SP, Singh VB. Quality production of *kharif* onion (*Allium cepa* L.) in response to biofertilizers inoculated organic manures. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science. 2012; 82:236-40.
2. Bidari BI. Assesment of yield and quality of byadagi chillies (*Capsicum annum* L.) in relation to soil and management practices in Dharwad district. Ph.D. Thesis University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, 2000.
3. Chumyani, Kanaujia SP, Singh AK, Singh VB. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and

- quality of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.).
Journal of Soil and Crops. 2012; 22:5-9.
4. Harikrishna BL, Channel HT, Hebsur NS, Dharmatti PR, Sarangamath PA. Yield and economic analysis of tomato as influenced by integrated nutrient management. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2002; 15:373-374.
 5. Jose D, Shanmugavelu KG, Thamburaj S. Studies on efficacy of organic vs inorganic forms of nitrogen in brinjal. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 1998; 45(1/2):100-103.
 6. Malik A, Chattoo MA, Dar MA, Habib K, Qadir S. Effect of integrated nutrient management on Growth and Yield attributing characters of Capsicum Hybrid SH-SP-5. SKUAST Journal of Research. 2009; 11:90-95.
 7. Sentiyangla, Kanaujia SP, Singh VB, Singh AK. INM for quality productio of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) in acid Alfisol. Journals of Soils and Crops. 2010; 20(1):1-9.