



ISSN 2347-2677

IJFBS 2017; 4(4): 126-130

Received: 20-05-2017

Accepted: 21-06-2017

**Mohammad Farooq Mir**  
Hydrobiology Research  
laboratory SP College Srinagar,  
Kashmir India

**Aubid Bashir**  
Hydrobiology Research  
laboratory SP College Srinagar,  
Kashmir India

**Mohd Yaseen Gudoo**  
Hydrobiology Research  
laboratory SP College Srinagar,  
Kashmir India

**Sualiha Tabassum**  
Hydrobiology Research  
laboratory SP College Srinagar,  
Kashmir India

**Muzamil Bashir**  
Hydrobiology Research  
laboratory SP College Srinagar,  
Kashmir India

**Rifat Farooq**  
Hydrobiology Research  
laboratory SP College Srinagar,  
Kashmir India

**Suhaib Bundh**  
Hydrobiology Research  
laboratory SP College Srinagar,  
Kashmir India

#### Correspondence

**Mohammad Farooq Mir**  
Hydrobiology Research  
laboratory SP College Srinagar,  
Kashmir India

## Sediment chemistry and Macrozoobenthos of Gagribal Basin of Dal Lake

**Mohammad Farooq Mir, Aubid Bashir, Mohd Yaseen Gudoo, Sualiha Tabassum, Muzamil Bashir, Rifat Farooq and Suhaib Bundh**

### Abstract

During the present investigation 9 species of Macrozoobenthos belonging to three phyla viz., Annelida, Arthropoda and Mollusca were recorded and the most dominant species found was *Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri* in terms of population density. The nature of sediment and the physico-chemical parameters of the sediment affected the population density of the Macrozoobenthos. Sandy clay was found most suitable for the bottom fauna.

**Keywords:** Macrozoobenthos, Sediment chemistry, Population density, bottom fauna

### Introduction

The valley of Kashmir also referred to be “paradise on Earth” has been known for its scenic beauty. It is well known for its high altitude lakes like Alipather, Shesnag, Tarsar, Marsar, Kounsarnag, Nilnag, Naranag and low land lakes. The lakes have been experiencing significant ecological changes. the dal lake with the mountainous amphitheater in its back ground and a chain of Mughal gardens and summer places in its catchment is one among the most beautiful heritages and scenic spots of world.it has remained at the focal point of Kashmir’s tourist history, culture and tourism. The backdrop of zabarwan, Hariparbat, Shankar acharya, Placid water of Dal have bestowed unparalleled beauty and magnificence, which has attracted tourism from all over the world. The Dal Lake has been and is still the backbone of tourism industry in Kashmir. The ever increasing human interference has brought the lake to a point where concern is needed at regional and national level and attempts are needed to save whatever is left of the lake.

According to Kango *et al.* (1978)<sup>[7]</sup> about 35,500 ha. Catchment area of Dal Lake provides a huge slit washed in to the lake every year which is one of the greatest factor affecting the lake ecosystem. Large quantities of phosphorus and Nitrogen are added to the lake which has resulted into luxuriant growth of aquatic vegetation like *potamogeton sp.*, *Ceratophyllum*, *Myriophyllum*, *Nelumbo sp.*, *Nymphia*, *Lemna*, *Salvinia* and the newly evolved *Azolla* species. this inturn has effected all other components of the lake. The pollution Eutrophication level has affected to the bottom fauna of Dal lake. The present study deals with the study of some parameters of sediment chemistry, species composition and pollution density of zoobenthic fauna of Gagribal basin of Dal Lake.

### Area of study

World famous Dal Lake is situated at an altitude of 1584m.a.s.l against the back drop of Zabarwan, Shankaracharya and Hariparbat is located in the heart of Srinagar city and is called as “city Lake”. The lake is situated on the Northeast of Srinagar at a mean latitude of 34° 7’North and longitudes of 74° 52’East. The lake is fed by Telibal nallah on its North, which brings water from high altitude Marsar Lake and also from agricultural fields. Towards the south western side an outflow channel called tsunti kol discharges the lake water into river Jhelum at Gawkadal. A small canal (Nallah Amir Khan) connects the nagin basin of Dal Lake with Khushalsar Lake and acts as an additional outflow channel. The lake is very shallow, the depth generally varying from 1.5- 2 meters, however at certain places viz., nagin, Sona lank it exceeds 3.5 meters. It also fluctuates seasonally as a result of changes in the amount of rainfall and stream flow.

**Materials and Methods**

The sediment samples were collected from the four sites of study area of Dal lake at two months interval from Jan. 2016 to Sep. 2016 after scooping out the samples from these sites they were put into buckets and brought to the lab. Air dried and pulverized. The pulverized samples were then passed through small pore sized sieves.

**pH:** the pH of soil samples was determined directly from 1:10 soil suspension with the help of Model 101 E pH meter, after shaking the suspension for half an hour.

**Conductivity:** conductivity of soil samples was determined with the help of model 490 conductivity meter directly from 1:10 soil suspension, after shaking it for half an hour and results were expressed in the units of  $\mu\text{SCm}^{-1}$ .

**Chlorides:** chlorides were determined by titration method by using silver nitrate as titrant and potassium chromate as indicator.

**Alkalinity:** alkalinity of soil samples were determined by titration method by using (0.02N)  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  as a titrant.

**Calcium and Magnesium:** for the determination of total cations, leaching of the soil was done by ammonium acetate solution EDTA was used as a titrant.

**Available Phosphorous:** it was extracted from the soil with 0.002N  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  (1 soil  $\times$  200  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ) in accordance to and the concentration determined as per stannous chloride method (APHA, 1980)<sup>[1]</sup>.

**Sodium and Potassium:** the concentration of Na and K present in the soil samples was determined by the standard flame photometric method.

**Organic Matter:** it was estimated in the sediments by modified walkeys and black (1934) rapid titration method detailed out in.

**Results and Discussion**

Macrozoobenthos: During the study a total of 9 species of benthic invertebrates belonging to three major phyla viz; Annelida, Arthropoda and the Mollusca were collected from Jan. 2016 to Sep. 2016 from different sites of Gagribal Basin of Dal Lake. The major contributor of Macrozoobenthos was phylum Annelida which contributed 5 species, of which four belong to Oligochaeta and one to Hirudinea. Arthropoda contributed two species which belong to class Insecta and Mollusca were represented by two species both of which belonged to Gastropoda. Detailed account of species recorded were

**Phylum Annelida**

|         |  |
|---------|--|
| Class   | Oligochaeta  |
| Family  | Tubificidae  |
| Species | <i>Limnodrilus hoffmeister</i><br><i>Tubifex tubifex</i><br><i>Branchiura sowerbyi</i> |
| Family  | Naidae   |
|         | <i>Nais</i> sp.  |
| Class   | Hirudinea  |

|         |                   |
|---------|-------------------|
| Family  | Hirudinidae       |
| Species | <i>Hirudo</i> sp. |

|               |                       |
|---------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Phylum</b> | <b>Arthropoda</b>     |
| Class         | Insecta               |
|               | <i>Chironomus</i> sp. |
| Family        | Chironomidae          |
| Order         | Diptera               |
| Family        | Panypodinae           |
| Species       | <i>Pentaneura</i> sp. |

|               |  |
|---------------|--|
| <b>Phylum</b> | <b>Mollusca</b>  |
| Class         | Gastropoda   |
| Family        | Lymnaeidae   |
| Species       | <i>Lymnaea auricularis</i><br><i>Lymnaea stagnalis</i> |

In general Annelida was most dominant phylum of the benthic animals which were collected from the four sampling stations of Dal Lake, followed by arthropoda while as Mollusca was least in abundance.

The nature of sediment influences the species spectrum of the zoobenthos (Baker, 1918; Welch 1952)<sup>[3, 15]</sup>. Baker (1918)<sup>[3]</sup> recognized six general types of Lake Bottom which includes mud, sand, clay, sandy clay, gravel and boulders. In the present basin four different substrate type were recognized these include clay (site I), sandy clay (site II), Muck (site III) and gravel (site IV). A comparison of speceid composition and population density at different sites revealed that Muck substrate was least attractive to the benthic organisms. Sandy clay was inhibited by largest number of zoobenthos. However site I and site II showed absence of Mollusca.

**Name and number (individuals/m<sup>2</sup>) of Macrozoobenthos.**

| Macrozoobenthos        | I   | II  | III | IV  |
|------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| <b>Annelida</b>        |     |     |     |     |
| • Oligochaetes         |     |     |     |     |
| <i>Limnodrilus</i> sp. | 195 | 143 | 338 | 260 |
| <i>B. sowerbyi</i>     | 26  | 65  | 26  | 26  |
| <i>Tubifex</i> sp.     | 65  | 117 | 78  | 78  |
| <i>Nais</i> sp.        | 143 | 169 | 130 | 286 |
| • Hirudinea            |     |     |     |     |
| <i>Hirudo</i> sp.      | -   | 13  | -   | -   |
| <b>Arthropoda</b>      |     |     |     |     |
| • Insecta              |     |     |     |     |
| <i>Chironomus</i> sp.  | 91  | 455 | 52  | -   |
| <i>Pentaneura</i> sp.  | 13  | -   | -   | -   |
| <b>Mollusca</b>        |     |     |     |     |
| • Gastropoda           |     |     |     |     |
| <i>L. auricularis</i>  | -   | -   | 26  | 143 |
| <i>L. Stagnalis</i>    | -   | -   | 13  | 52  |
| Total no. of           | 6   | 6   | 7   | 6   |

Species a perusal of the species composition of benthos in a Gagribal basin reveals that only a limited number of taxa were present in this basin of lake which is generally characteristic of eutrophic/polluted waters. *Limnodrilus hofmeister* which is one of the dominant oligochaeta in the lake is a ubiquitous species predominant in sediments with abundant organic matter and pollution (Sang, 1987, Brinkhrust, 1965)<sup>[2, 10, 4]</sup>. Similarly *Tubifex tubifex* is typical of environments with high

conductivity, low depth, low current velocity, clay sand or clay, mud sediments and variable amount of organic matter (Brinkhurst, 1965, Sang, 1987, Whitley, 1968) [4-10]. During present study the species was rare only at site I which was characterized by clay sediment. Although clay or sandy clay has been shown to be suitable for this species it seems that some other ecological parameter most probably sewage contamination limits its population at this site.

*Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri* and *Tubifex tubifex* occurred in all substrates found in the Gagribal basin of Dal Lake. All the other species had restricted distribution with respect to the type of substratum. *Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri* was the most dominant species and was found at all the four sites of study area. The species showed a minimum mean density of 29 ind/m<sup>2</sup> at site II and maximum of 68 ind/m<sup>2</sup> at site IV.

**Table 1:** Variation in the physico-chemical parameters of sediments of Gagribal Basin of Dal Lake at site - I & II

| S. No. | Parameters                         | Site-I |       |       |      |       |        | Site-II |       |        |        |       |        |
|--------|------------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|------|-------|--------|---------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------|
|        |                                    | Jan    | Mar   | May   | Jul  | Sep   | Mean   | Jan     | Mar   | May    | Jul    | Sep   | Mean   |
| 1      | pH                                 | 8.35   | 8.13  | 8.01  | 7.98 | 7.88  | 8.07   | 7.53    | 7.32  | 7.23   | 7.11   | 7.45  | 7.32   |
| 2      | Conductivity (µScm <sup>-1</sup> ) | 211    | 346   | 26    | 313  | 302   | 287.8  | 549     | 643   | 585    | 547    | 518   | 568.4  |
| 3      | Chloride (ppm)                     | 387    | 603.5 | 298.2 | 568  | 521.3 | 475.6  | 394     | 788.1 | 431.32 | 788.1  | 681   | 617.1  |
| 4      | Bicarbonates (ppm)                 | 678    | 680   | 100.8 | 512  | 440   | 482.16 | 792     | 480   | 129.6  | 442.4  | 582.2 | 485.24 |
| 5      | Calcium (ppm)                      | 3748   | 3942  | 3870  | 3856 | 3932  | 3869.6 | 4939    | 5012  | 4756   | 4641   | 4806  | 4830.8 |
| 6      | Magnesium (ppm)                    | 386    | 475   | 398   | 380  | 384   | 404.6  | 454     | 592   | 569    | 498    | 502   | 523    |
| 7      | Sodium (ppm)                       | 170.15 | 204   | 113.4 | 116  | 158   | 153.3  | 189.6   | 168   | 157.95 | 161.95 | 164.2 | 168.3  |

**Table 2:** Variation in the physico-chemical parameters of sediments of Gagribal Basin of Dal Lake at site -III & IV

| S No. | Parameters                         | Site-III |       |        |       |       |        | Site-IV |       |        |        |        |        |
|-------|------------------------------------|----------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|---------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|       |                                    | Jan      | Mar   | May    | Jul   | Sep   | Mean   | Jan     | Mar   | May    | Jul    | Sep    | Mean   |
| 1     | pH                                 | 8.09     | 8.03  | 8.01   | 7.76  | 7.99  | 7.97   | 8.03    | 7.93  | 7.86   | 7.66   | 7.89   | 7.87   |
| 2     | Conductivity (µScm <sup>-1</sup> ) | 306      | 457   | 338    | 354   | 317   | 354.7  | 339     | 448   | 386    | 394    | 384    | 390.2  |
| 3     | Chloride (ppm)                     | 187      | 426   | 399.37 | 420   | 426   | 371.6  | 387     | 1349  | 420.67 | 683.37 | 702.32 | 708.46 |
| 4     | Bicarbonates (ppm)                 | 360      | 710.4 | 150    | 503.2 | 438   | 432.32 | 691     | 638.4 | 126.4  | 492.8  | 457.3  | 481.18 |
| 5     | Calcium (ppm)                      | 3996     | 4530  | 4300   | 4296  | 4705  | 4365.4 | 4246    | 4890  | 4235   | 4121   | 4610   | 4421   |
| 6     | Magnesium (ppm)                    | 394      | 431   | 414    | 394   | 398   | 406.2  | 469     | 546   | 420    | 359    | 379    | 434.6  |
| 7     | Sodium (ppm)                       | 182.4    | 155.4 | 127.5  | 129.5 | 131.3 | 145.2  | 222.3   | 167.2 | 201.45 | 196.35 | 184    | 194.2  |

**Table 3:** Population Density (ind/m<sup>2</sup>) of Macrozoobenthos at Site- I & II

| S No.              | Macrozoobenthos        | Site-I |     |     |      |     |      | Site-II |     |     |     |     |                  |
|--------------------|------------------------|--------|-----|-----|------|-----|------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------------|
|                    |                        | Jan    | Mar | May | July | Sep | Mean | Jan     | Mar | May | Jul | Sep | Mean             |
| 1. Annelida        |                        |        |     |     |      |     |      |         |     |     |     |     |                  |
| Class: Oligochaeta |                        |        |     |     |      |     |      |         |     |     |     |     |                  |
|                    |                        |        |     |     |      |     |      |         |     |     |     |     |                  |
| i)                 | <i>Limnodrilus</i> sp. | 26     | 26  | 26  | 52   | 65  | 39   | 13      | 39  | 26  | 26  | 39  | 29               |
| ii)                | <i>B. Sowerbyi</i>     | -      | -   | 26  | -    | -   | 5    | -       | -   | 52  | 13  | -   | 13               |
| iii)               | <i>Tubifex</i> sp.     | -      | 13  | 26  | 26   | -   | 13   | -       | 26  | 39  | 39  | 13  | 23               |
| iv)                | <i>Nais</i> sp.        | -      | 39  | 39  | 26   | 39  | 29   | 52      | 26  | 26  | 39  | 26  | 34               |
| Class: Hirudinaea  |                        |        |     |     |      |     |      |         |     |     |     |     |                  |
| v)                 | <i>Hirudo</i> sp.      | -      | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | -       | -   | 13  | -   | -   | 3                |
|                    | Total annelida         | 26     | 78  | 117 | 104  | 104 | 86   | 65      | 26  | 26  | 39  | 26  | 34               |
| 2. Arthropoda      |                        |        |     |     |      |     |      |         |     |     |     |     |                  |
| Class : Insecta    |                        |        |     |     |      |     |      |         |     |     |     |     |                  |
| vi)                | <i>Chironomus</i> sp.  | 13     | 13  | 26  | 13   | 26  | 18   | 91      | 91  | 104 | 91  | 78  | 91               |
| vii)               | <i>Pentaneura</i>      | -      | -   | -   | 13   | -   | 3    | -       | -   | -   | -   | -   | -                |
|                    | Total Arthropoda       | 13     | 13  | 26  | 26   | 21  | 91   | 91      | 104 | 91  | 78  | 91  | Total Arthropoda |
| 3. Mollusca        |                        |        |     |     |      |     |      |         |     |     |     |     |                  |
| Class: Gastropoda  |                        |        |     |     |      |     |      |         |     |     |     |     |                  |
| viii)              | <i>L. auricularis</i>  | -      | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | -       | -   | -   | -   | -   | -                |
| ix)                | <i>L. stagnalis</i>    | -      | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | -       | -   | -   | -   | -   | -                |
|                    | Total Mollusca         | -      | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | -       | -   | -   | -   | -   | -                |
|                    | Total Zoobenthos       | 39     | 91  | 143 | 130  | 130 | 107  | 156     | 182 | 260 | 208 | 156 | 192              |
|                    |                        |        |     |     |      |     |      |         |     |     |     |     | Total Zoobenthos |

**Table 4:** Population Density (ind/m<sup>2</sup>) of Macrozoobenthos at Site-III & IV

| S No.              | Macrozoobenthos         | Site-III |     |     |      |     |      | Site-IV |     |     |     |     |      |
|--------------------|-------------------------|----------|-----|-----|------|-----|------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
|                    |                         | Jan      | Mar | May | July | Sep | Mean | Jan     | Mar | May | Jul | Sep | Mean |
| 1. Annelida        |                         |          |     |     |      |     |      |         |     |     |     |     |      |
| Class: Oligochaeta |                         |          |     |     |      |     |      |         |     |     |     |     |      |
| i)                 | <i>Limnodrillus</i> sp. | 65       | 65  | 65  | 78   | 65  | 68   | 13      | 52  | 39  | 104 | 52  | 52   |
| ii)                | <i>B. Sowerbyi</i>      | -        | -   | 13  | -    | 13  | 5    | -       | -   | 26  | -   | -   | 5    |
| iii)               | <i>Tubifex</i> sp.      | -        | 26  | 39  | 13   | -   | 16   | -       | 26  | 13  | 26  | 13  | 16   |
| iv)                | <i>Nais</i> sp.         | 26       | -   | 39  | 39   | 26  | 26   | 52      | 65  | 78  | 39  | 52  | 57   |
| i)                 | <i>Limnodrillus</i> sp. | 65       | 65  | 65  | 78   | 65  | 68   | 13      | 52  | 39  | 104 | 52  | 52   |
| Class: Hirudinaea  |                         |          |     |     |      |     |      |         |     |     |     |     |      |
| v)                 | <i>Hirudo</i> sp.       | -        | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | -       | -   | 13  | -   | -   | 3    |
| Total annelida     |                         | 91       | 91  | 156 | 130  | 104 | 115  | 65      | 143 | 156 | 169 | 117 | 130  |
| 2. Arthropoda      |                         |          |     |     |      |     |      |         |     |     |     |     |      |
| Class : Insecta    |                         |          |     |     |      |     |      |         |     |     |     |     |      |
| vi)                | <i>Chironomus</i> sp.   | -        | 39  | 13  | -    | -   | 10   | -       | -   | -   | -   | -   | -    |
| vii)               | <i>Pentaneura</i>       | -        | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | -       | -   | -   | -   | -   | -    |
| Total Arthropoda   |                         | -        | 39  | 13  | -    | -   | 10   | -       | -   | -   | -   | -   | -    |
| 3. Mollusca        |                         |          |     |     |      |     |      |         |     |     |     |     |      |
| Class: Gastropoda  |                         |          |     |     |      |     |      |         |     |     |     |     |      |
| viii)              | <i>L. auricularis</i>   | -        | -   | 13  | 13   | -   | 5    | 39      | 13  | 39  | 13  | 39  | 29   |
| ix)                | <i>L. stagnalis</i>     | -        | -   | 13  | -    | -   | 3    | -       | -   | 26  | 26  | -   | 10   |
| Total Mollusca     |                         | -        | -   | 26  | 13   | -   | 8    | 39      | 13  | 65  | 39  | 39  | 39   |
| Total Zoobenthos   |                         | 91       | 130 | 195 | 145  | 104 | 133  | 104     | 126 | 221 | 206 | 156 | 163  |

### Physico-chemical Parameters of Sediment

The bottom sediments stratigraphy becomes an important key of gaining insight into past history of Lakes. The sediment chemistry is greatly influenced by major mineral matrix, soil texture, organic carbon and geophysical locations. The exchange of nutrients between sediments and overlying water is very likely to be dependent upon the chemical characteristics of both the water and the sediments (Golterman, 1975; William *et al.*, 1976)<sup>[6, 17]</sup>. The sediments which participate in the matter turn over permit them to form and change many properties of lake environment. The most active sediment layer is the "upper one" remaining within the range of penetration of benthic organisms which take part in the process of matter exchange between the bottom and lake waters. The micro-organisms in the lake utilize different nutrients, incorporate them into their biomass and ultimately release them after the death and decay to the sediments as organic or inorganic materials. Thus, the sediments of lake serve as an important sink for nutrients and source for growth of organisms.

The present study on the sediments of Gagribal Basin of Dal Lake reveals a marked variations in its chemical features (Table-1). The pH throughout the basin was found to be alkaline ranging from a minimum 7.11 in July at site II to a maximum value of 8.35 in the January at site I. the decrease in pH during rainy season and early summer was attributed to microbial decomposition because of high water temperature, it conducted the liberation of H<sub>2</sub>S which lower the hydrogen ion concentration and thus joining with uniform high organic material forming FeS, freeing H<sup>+</sup> ion. Similar results for low pH were reported by Venu *et al.* (1993)<sup>[14]</sup>. Fall in pH was also attributed to the change in weather conditions.

Specific conductivity at all the four sites was found to fluctuate 211  $\mu\text{scm}^{-1}$  at site I to 643  $\mu\text{scm}^{-1}$  in March at site II at 25 °C. The low electric conductivity of sediments is attributed to presence of ionized salts in less quantities and high values of electric conductivity was generally associated with pollution. The higher value of specific conductivity at

site II in the sediments indicates its less resistance to electric flow and there by high concentration of dissolved salts and higher trophic status of the system. The major cations like Sodium and Calcium and major anions like bicarbonates and chlorides are responsible for high conductivity of the month January and September. In the present study calcium was found to be a dominant cation followed by Magnesium. Thus the observations of Zutshi *et al.* (1982)<sup>[18]</sup> that calcium is the dominant cation in Kashmir lake sediments holds good for this basin of Dal lake. Higher values of Ca<sup>++</sup> at site II were the density of Molluscs was very high support the observation of Tadjewski (1966)<sup>[11]</sup> who advocated that the dead planktonic organisms and snail shells are responsible for the presence of these cations in aquatic system. The higher values of Ca and Mg towards the winter is clear indication of decomposition of phytoplankton during that time while during summer the values decrease because of their uptake by macrophytes.

### References

- American Public Health Association 1980. Standard methods for the examination of water and waste waters. APHA, AWWA, WPCF, Washington. I.
- Aston, R. J. Tubicids and water quality: A review Environ. Polut, 1973; 5:1-10.
- Baker FC. The productivity of invertebrate fish food on the bottom of Oneida Lake with special reference to molluscs, New York St. Coll. For tech. Publ., 1918; 9:1-264.
- Brinkhurst, R. O. Observation on the recovery of a British river from gross organic pollution. Hydrobiologia, 1965; 25: 9-51.
- Ennex of New Zealand 1978. Study of pollution in Dal Lake. Gazteer of Kashmir & Ladakh Vivek Publ. House, New Delhi. 1974.
- Golterman HL. Physiological Limnology. An approach to the Physiology of Lake ecosystem. American Elsevier, New York: 1975, 489.
- Kango RA, Dubey KP, Zutshi DP. Sediment Chemistry

- of Kashmir Himalayan Lakes. Clay Mineralogy Chemical Geology, 1978; 64:121-126.
- 8 Mir MF. Ecological studies on Zoobenthic Community of Dal Lake, Kashmir. Ph.D thesis KU, Srinagar, 1995.
  - 9 Nedwell DB, Brown CM. Bottom sediments of Lake Rotorua N. Z. Mar. Frasn. Res. 1982; 17:185-204.
  - 10 Sang Q. Some ecological aspects of aquatic Oligochaetes in the lower pearl river (People's Republic of China). Hydrobiologia, 1987; 155:199-208.
  - 11 Tadajewski A. Bottom sediments in different limnetic zones of a Eutrophic lake. Ekol. Polska- Seria "A" 1966; XIV:321-341.
  - 12 Trivedy RK, Goel PK, Trisal CL. Practical methods in Ecology & Environmental Science. Environmental Publ. Karad (India), 1987.
  - 13 Vass, K. K and Zutshi. D. P. Limnological studies on Dal Lake Kashmir, India: Morphometry and Physical features. J. Int. Fish. Soc. Ind. 1979; 11(1):12-21.
  - 14 Venu P, Kumar V, Bhasin MK.. Limnological Survey of water bodies of Sikkim Himalayas, India. J. of Him. Ecol. 1993; 1(2):11-168.
  - 15 Welch PS. Limnology. Mc Graw Hill Book Co. New York, 1952.
  - 16 Whitely IS, the resistance of tubificids worms to three common pollutants. Hydrobiologia. 1968; 32:193-205.
  - 17 Williams JDH, Murophy TP, Mayer T. Rates of accumulation of Phosphorous forms in the Lake Erie sediments. J. Fish. Res. Db. Canada. 1976; 33(4):30-439.
  - 18 Zutshi DP, Subla BA, Khan MA, Wanganeo A. Comparative limnology of nine lakes of J&K. Himalayas Hydrobiologia. 1982; 72:101-112.