International Journal of Fauna and Biological Studies
Available online at www.faunajournal.com

IJFBS
International Journal of Fauna And Biological Studies

ISSN 2347-2677
IJFBS 2018; 5(4): 17-22
Received: 04-05-2018
Accepted: 06-06-2018

Sana Naseem
Department of zoology, University of Gujrat, Punjab, Pakistan

Muhammad Faheem Malik
Dean of faculty of sciences, Department of zoology, University of Gujrat, Punjab, Pakistan

Talhat Munir
Department of zoology, University of Gujrat, Punjab, Pakistan

Correspondence
Sana Naseem
Department of zoology, University of Gujrat, Punjab, Pakistan

Wildlife Perils: A review

Sana Naseem, Muhammad Faheem Malik and Talhat Munir

Abstract
Biodiversity being lost more rapidly than natural resources due to the global warming, habitat loss, oil spills, over exploitation, diseases, pollution, invasive species, urbanization, predation, competition, climate change, and food shortage. All these factors have very deleterious impact on the wildlife. Habitat fragmentation is most dangerous factor for the feasibility of endangered species. There are many external threats or stresses that interfere with the ability of reproduction and survival of wildlife. Those species that fail to cope with these threats then they may face extinction. There should be proper management strategies to ensure the sustainability of wildlife.

Keywords: wildlife, perils, competition, predation, climate change

1. Introduction
Humans have left footprints and explored nearly each corner of sphere. As our needs and population is growing we are leaving very smaller space for wildlife. Many different kinds of human actions affect the wildlife; these factors cause the habitat destruction and introduction of diseases and exotic species (Wiegand *et al*., 2005) [51]. Most ecosystems are facing numerous dangers. Each new threat adds extra pressure on already weakened ecosystems and their wildlife (Farris *et al*., 2015) [21].

A continuously fluctuating physical environment needs organisms to adapt to new climate, atmospheric and temperatures conditions. Living things must also deal with unpredicted happenings such as earthquakes, meteor strikes, volcanic eruption, hurricanes and fires. As new life forms arise and interrelate, species are further challenged to adjust to one another to deal with disease, predation, parasitism and competition (Wilfred, 2010) [52].

According to the biologists estimated species of the animals, plants and microorganisms are between 5 to 15 million. That contains the 50,000 species of vertebrates, 300,000 plant species and 4 and 8 million species of insects. About 12% species of birds and 23% species of mammals are threatened according to the IUCN.

In the tropical forests natural habitat loss due to human activities for the wildlife occurs as compare to the arctic, boreal and temperate regions. Pollution that caused by the atmospheric nitrogen is more dangerous in the temperate areas as well as the introduction of the invasive species also have severe impact on the native wildlife species (Farris *et al*., 2015) [21].

Biodiversity being lost more rapidly than natural resources due to the global warming, Habitat loss, oil spills, overexploitation, diseases, pollution and invasive species have great impact on the wildlife.

A continuously altering physical environment requires individuals to adapt with the new climates, atmospheric conditions and temperatures. Living things must be able to deal with the unexpected events such as hurricanes, meteor strikes, earthquakes, fire and volcanic eruption. Species also face different challenges such as diseases, parasitism, predation and competition (Wilfred, 2010) [52].

In cities wildlife face a great danger because sometime wild animals move in the deeper urban areas where they do not find the comfortable environment. They may stroll in the heavy traffic, get threaten by people and can be hit by the cars. On the hand in the residential areas domestic animals damaged the wildlife habitat and kill young and adult animals (Joyce *et al*., 2001) [16].

Destruction of habitat and fragmentation: The damage or separation of habitat to allow humans to consume the land for development of towns, cities and agriculture and dams constructions (Ndeereh *et al*., 2012). Change of climate: activities of humans such as fossil fuel burning altered the atmosphere with this climate change occurs (Wilfred, 2010) [52].

Exotic species: when introduction of exotic species occur it causes the extinction of endemic species. These species compete with the endemic species for all the type of resources (Faulkner, 2010) [23]. Pollutants: Herbicides and pesticides when released in environment these are ingested by the organisms and causes damage in those organisms. Intense use of resources: the use of wild life as food has resulted in the crashes of population. Illegal trade of endangered species, accidental deaths and human-wildlife conflicts has the serious impact on wildlife population (Farris *et al*., 2015) [21].

Loss and fragmentation of habitat are most dangerous factors for the feasibility of endangered species. Lessening of the whole amount of appropriate habitat effects in varied lands made of remote covers of appropriate habitat of changing quality embedded in an aggressive medium this procedure usually consequences in both pure habitat loss and fragmentation effects (Wiegand *et al*., 2005) [51].

2. Climate change

Climate modification can quickly outmatch outdated human effect as the major driver of alteration in biological diversity (i.e. biodiversity) over the upcoming period. Our earth is presently suffering a astounding degree of intense environmental change. Around the world, ecosystems are increasingly subjected to the negative impact of humanoid population development and its increasing environmental footmark (Erwin, 2009) [20].

Environmental alteration has damagingly affected various biological arrangements on our earth and is becoming of enhanced alarm for the humans and existence of various species. At an creature level, impacts include not only lessend reproductive parameters, change in sex ratio and endocrine disruptions, but also contain genotoxic and teratogenic effects, impairments of immune systems and immunosuppression that can enhance directly to disease or surge the danger of obtaining disease.

Living organisms will struggle to keep health by knowing and determining irregular circumstances, such as the occurrence of attacking microorganisms or damaging peptides, irregular cell duplication and harmful mutations. However, fast-paced environmental alteration may pose extra burden on immunocompetence and health keep, which may extremely influence population feasibility and perseverance (cevedo-Whitehouse and Duffus, 2009) [1].

Forecasting the imports of worldwide environmental alteration on biodiversity is a multifaceted charge mostly because the impact incorporates manifold and multifaceted dynamic procedures that infrequently have solitary and clear-cut activities. Rather, the belongings seem to interrelate and can even have preservative prices, and these can obvious at numerous heights (Erwin, 2009) [20].

In 2010, the Michigan Natural Features Inventory (MNFI) get finance from the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality Coastal Management Program to measure susceptibility of plant and 180 animal species in the coastal zone using the Climate Change Vulnerability Index (CCVI) advanced by Nature Serve. MNFI measures entire of 198 species with 67 plant species and 131 animal species. The Michigan Department of Natural Resources (DNR) Wildlife Separation used Pittman-Robertson and State Wildlife Grants to measure susceptibility of 281 animal species using the similar means. Twelve animal species were measured by both Michigan DNR and MNFI. All local earthly game species and all Species of Utmost Conservation Need (SGCN) were measured. Vulnerable species are those predictable to practice decreases in variety or abundance by 2050 due to climate change (Turley, 2006) [46].

Other preservation fears or packages aside, these species will probable involve variety or population decreases due to climate amteration. Vulnerable species comprised significant game species, such as American marten (*Martes americana*), snowshoe hare (*Lepus americanus*), ruffed grouse (*Bonasa umbellus*) and moose (*Alces americanus*) (Hoving *et al*., 2013) [26].

The main means climate alteration will upset wildlife comprise:

i) Habitat Transforming

Changes in precipitation, temperature and fundamental vegetation will alter the habitat for various species. Life-threatening events may also persuade changes of whole ecosystems. Organisms with precise habitat supplies will not acclimatize as simply, while generalists will fare improved (Muchhala *et al*., 2014) [35].

ii) Range Shifts/Migration

More movable species will 'follow' their best weather circumstances into new areas. As species ranges change over time, we will see normal groups, species relations, and connections that are completely new (Farris *et al*., 2015) [21] .

iii) Spreading Pest And Diseases

Climate-induced species changes are predictable to surge the occurrence of new host-parasite relations and developing communicable diseases, as new species come in interaction with one another with consequences for the fitness of wildlife and human populations. Hotter temperatures may also rise pathogens in transitional vectors and hosts, or enhance existence of animals that can cause disease (USGS 2012) (Erwin, 2009) [20].

3. Competition

Predation has been demanded to rise, lessen, or have slight impact on, status of interspecific competition (Chesson and Kuang, 2008) [10]. The qualitative impact of predators can be contingent together on the mechanism of competition and on the meaning of competitive asset (Chase *et al*., 2002) [9]. Interspecific competition among species, distinct as mutual undesirable impact of one species on another species has long been supposed to be one of the supplementary significant practices to know the construction of natural communities (Bachelot *et al*., 2015) [3].

In natural ecosystems, individuals are concurrently involved in competitive, predatory and mutualistic connections. Theory forecasts that species perseverance and stability of community are possible when the valuable impact of mutualisms is stable by density-dependent destructive reactions. Enemy-mediated damaging reactions can adoptive plant species existence in diverse groups, but experiential indication remnants mixed. Difference amid theoretical outlooks and experiential results may rise from the impacts of mutualistic mycorrhizal fungi (Weatherford and Myster, 2011) [50].

4. Food

Protein from forest wildlife is vital to countryside food safety and livings crosswise the tropics. The yield of animals such as

pigs, deer, primates, paccirine, large rodents, tapir, birds and reptiles delivers assistances to native people value millions of US$ yearly and epitomizes about 6 million tons of animals removed yearly. Susceptibility to hunting differs, with some species supporting populations in deeply hunted subordinate habitats, while others need intact woodlands with slight reaping to keep healthy populations (cevedo-Whitehouse and Duffus, 2009) [1].

Some species or collections have been branded as ecosystem contrives and environmental keystone species. They disturb plant structure and distribution in ecosystems, through dispersal of seed and browsing, rooting and grazing.

There is plenty and varied indication that the gauge of present predation is a thoughtful danger to numerous ecosystems and forest species in the Amazon and Congo Basin, the two major and smallest populated thick forest parts of the world. In two influential pieces using facts from the termination of the 1990's. Native extirpation of frightened species is extensive, with West and Central Africa particularly unbreakable hit (MacDonald, 2003) [32].

In spite of this indication and amplified global consideration, more than ten years future info on the bush meat yield and export is still incomplete and our sympathetic of the multifaceted connections between socio-economic, cultural and ecological dimensions of the subject leftovers incomplete (Naylor *et al*., 2000) [37].

Enhanced predation burden has concrete impact on wildlife and is probable to have extended term influences on forest ecosystems. As it is predictable in predatory regions, the plenty and arrangement of mammal accumulations fluctuate from un-hunted areas. Various vulnerable species such as great apes, tapris and elephants although not expressive high fractions in the hunter's clasp have weakened or become nearby exhausted due to predation. Very less is recognized though mostly of Central African predated species that are in part or compeletly pconserved (Constantino, 2016) [12].

In the Congo Basin, trade and enhanced population from village to city regions compounded with the absence of any large local meat part are the major teamsters of unmaintainable stages of predation. Though urban traders have access to tame bases of meat they are exported and imported and bush meat leftovers significant share of their diet (Muchhala *et al*., 2014) [35].

With an assessed annual withdrawal degree in the Congo Basin of 4.5 million tons, we would require to alter huge zones of hot woodlands or grasslands into meadow to replace bush meat by cows. As contrast, the Brazilian beef manufacture (8.6 million tonnes in 20051) is measured accountable of around 50 million ha of deforestation. Converging on chicken or pigs farming relative than cows ranching would then make additional intellect in the Congo Basin, but handling wildlife incomes will continue a requirement for periods to come (Naylor *et al*., 2000; Constantino, 2016) [37, 12].

5. Habitat Fragmentation

Habitat fragmentation and loss are main danger to biodiversity conservation. One way of justifying the harmful impact of fragmentation is to recover connectivity of habitat. Habitat strips have been exposed to be valued for the preservation of numerous cluster of wildlife and in different circumstances (e.g. urban, agricultural, production forest landscapes), though individual species varied in their usage of passages (MacDonald, 2003) [32].

The reason of such fragmentation has frequently been forest, agriculture, use of land for industrial purposes and urban development. Habitat fragmentation impacts might be supplementary to those that happen from habitat loss, though they are linked. The main effects of habitat fragmentation as being enhanced outside effects (such as invasion or predation), change microclimate (e.g. associated with evapotranspiration, wind and hydrological cycles), and enhanced segregation from other zones of related habitat (Stephens *et al*., 2004) [44].

Habitat coverings may not be capable to uphold species' populations in separation but that they may endure indeterminately with some altercation of persons and genetic material (Dixo *et al*., 2009; Arroyo-Rodríguez *et al*., 2013) [18, 2].

Fragmentation of natural habitats is a main task in conservation biology and one of the highest fears to biodiversity (Fleming and Potter, 2005) [24]. The bad impact of fragmentation consequence into lessen in complete habitat obtainability and alteration in spatial conformation and habitat excellence of fragments. Both empirical and theoretical and empirical revisions demonstration that habitat fragmentation can corrode adaptive and neutral genetic diversity of populations due to reductions in operative population size and inter-population connectivity (Městková *et al*., 2012) [34].

After fragmentation, minor populations, and inferior genetic diversity lead to major danger of genetic drift, lessen evolutionary potential, enhanced danger of interbreeding, higher risks of inbreeding that lead toward extinction. The conservation of semi-natural stages of habitat connectivity via habitat passages has been planned as a means of sinking the deleterious impact of fragmentation (Underhill and Angold, 1999) [47].

Some carnivores have modified well to the occurrence of humans. Skunks (*Mephitis mephitis*), red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) and Raccoons (*Procyon lotor*) spread their maximum thicknesses in urban areas. The comparative plenty of opossums (*Didelphis virginianus*) and gray foxes (*Urocyon cinereoargenteus*) is maximum in the minimum habitat fragments. Other species, though, are fewer capable to live with humans. Big carnivores come into clash with domestic animals and more-specialized species could not advantage from human-linked foods such as decorative fruit or garbage. Mammalian carnivores, though frequently provocative, produce public attention and are frequently the emphasis of conservation (Theobald., *et al*., 1997) [45].

Habitat loss was damagingly linked with woodpecker group size in minor populations that had comparatively remote collections but not in a huge, thicker population (Riley *et al*., 2003) [40]. Cutting designs also may disturb the quantity of scavenging habitat obtainable to a family group if the group is compulsory to go through the lands of other collections to right to use suitable foraging habitat. Habitat loss may disturb woodpecker group size by producing an inadequacy of dispersal-demographic problems and foraging habitat (Conner and Rudolph, 1991) [11].

6. Predation

The nesting beaches of Sea turtle in Florida have been considerably damaged by predation and growth, left rare seashores inaccessible from development. Raccoons (*Procyon lotor*) are plentiful local species that influence sea turtle

conservation at many Florida seashores through nest depredation (Farris *et al*., 2015) [21].

Compounding the difficulty, raccoon populations enhanced in connection with humans because they frequently get artificial livelihood through waste or direct feeding (Creel *et al*., 2014) [13].

Predation disapprovingly hovers various rare species, with the dangerous impact of predation victims compounded by loss of habitat (Engeman *et al*., 2006) [19]. Both glitches relate to sea turtle nesting at different Florida seashores, assembly human interference important to safeguard turtle reproduction. Decrease of nest predator populations has been extensively suggested, and broadly proficient, to safeguard sea turtle nests (Baker *et al*., 2006) [4].

Hobe Sound National Wildlife Refuge (HSNWR) on Jupiter Island along Florida's east coast delivers emergent and protected beach habitat for nesting by loggerhead (*Caretta caretta*), leatherback (*Dermochelys coriacea*) and green (*Chelonia mydas*) turtles, each of which is federally listed as threatened or endangered, and classified on the IUCN Red list as endangered (loggerhead and green turtles) or critically endangered (leatherback turtles) (IUCN 2004).

Predators change prey dynamics by straight killing and finished the costs of ant predator replies or danger impacts. Anti-predator behavior comprises active rejoinders to long-term difference in danger (e.g., grouping patterns) and reactive responses to short-term difference in risk (e.g., intense vigilance) (Spier and fountaine, 2015) [43].

7. Urbanization

Urbanization, the growth of urban regions onto rural land, is a problem encountered by wildlife directors, city organizers, and ecologists. Presently, over five percent of United States terrestrial area is made up of residential land, and by 2030, it is forecast that sixty percent of the human population will live in urban areas (Ordeñana *et al*., 2010) [39]. Urban development is responsible for some of the important native destruction rates as it has the capability to significantly change land and habitat continues to be changed by use of energy, activity and anthropogenic structure (Czech *et al*., 2000) [15].

If change in behavioral occurs then the costs of the urban environment may have important suitability penalties if urban animals are least approachable to particular kind of predators. With the help of experience to the urban ecosystem, prey can developed fewer attentive of marks of predation such as predatory movements, calls and they may select to pay low energy eluding predation in demand to preserve it for other actions (Huijbers *et al*., 2013) [27].

There are various changes in the performance of wildlife among rural and urban parts, and it is progressively specious that anti-predator replies are comprised in these fluctuations. However, additional understanding nearby responses to various kinds of predators is required. In urban areas, predation happens at both the aerial and terrestrial level. Terrestrial predators pursue from the ground and incline to use methods such as chasing, pouncing, hiding and chasing, while aerial killers takings victim from the sky using methods containing scanning while climbing, dive-bombing prey and watching prey from a tree (Huijbers *et al*., 2013) [27].

Habitat loss and fragmentation owing to urbanization are amongst the main danger to worldwide biodiversity. Mammalian carnivores tend near low population density, big home ranges, and slow population growth rates, manufacture them particularly vulnerable to destruction carried on by habitat loss or human oppression. Carnivores have been dignified prophetic pointers of the complete fortune of ecosystems due to their top-level trophic location (Erwin, 2009) [20].

Therefore, carnivores can be valuable learning species when trying to measure the absolute health of ecosystems experiencing urbanization, such as those in southern California. In coastal southern California human population development and urban spread have shaped the main municipal area in the United States and one of the world's primary sections of extinction and endangerment (Spier and fountaine, 2015) [43]. Urban development in the region can affect carnivores in various ways, such as gene flow barriers, increased human activity, and habitat fragmentation, death due to vehicular collision and persecution, and increased disease exposure (Czech, 2015) [14].

Habitat fragmentation due to urbanization is the reason for the decline or local extinction of fragmentation-sensitive carnivores. The decline of large carnivores can assist the ecological release of smaller mesopredators that freely acclimatize to urban environments, take part to enhance the predation on smaller prey such as birds. Collectively, urban development and can act as social and physical barriers for flow of gene and direct reasons of mortality due to collision. Enhanced recreation and human activity linked with urbanization can lead to the behavioral displacement of carnivores (Jung and Threlfall, 2016) [30].

Bats are extremely varied group of mammals that occur globally, and many species persist in cities. A high degree of urbanization had a dangerous effect on habitat use linked to an intermediate degree of urbanization. Bats in the families' Mormoopidae and Rhinolophidae showed a negative linked with urban development, while responses in all other families were extremely heterogeneous. Furthermore, analysis of insectivorous bats showed that the flexibility of individual families, e.g. Vespertilionidae and Emballonuridae, to urbanisation is not steady worldwide (Czech *et al*., 2000) [15]. These consequences propose that morphological and behavioural traits of individual species may better determine species' adaptability to urban areas, rather than phylogenetic or functional classifications, and that driving factors for species adaptability to urban areas might be regionally divergent (Seress and liker, 2015) [42].

8. Conclusion

Living things face the constant barrage of external threats or stresses that interfere with the ability of reproduction and survival of wildlife. If species does not able to successfully cope with the threats with the help of adaptation then they may face extinction. Habitat fragmentation due to urbanization is the reason for the decline or local extinction of fragmentation-sensitive carnivores. The decline of large carnivores can assist the ecological release of smaller mesopredators that freely acclimatize to urban environments, take part to enhance the predation on smaller prey such as birds. It's need to reduce all the factors that cause the danger to the wildlife.

9. References

1. Acevedo-Whitehouse K, Duffus AL. Effects of environmental change on wildlife health. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B:

Biological Sciences. 2009; 364:3429-3438.
2. Arroyo-Rodríguez V, Cuesta-del Moral E, Mandujano S, Chapman CA, Reyna-Hurtado R, Fahrig L. Assessing habitat fragmentation effects on primates: the importance of evaluating questions at the correct scale. In Primates in Fragments. Springer New York. 2013, 13-28.
3. Bachelot B, Uriarte M, McGuire K. Interactions among mutualism, competition, and predation foster species coexistence in diverse communities. Theoretical Ecology. 2015; 8:297-312.
4. Baker P, Furlong M, Southern S, Harris S. The potential impact of red fox Vulpes vulpes predation in agricultural landscapes in lowland Britain. Wildlife Biology. 2006; 12:39-50.
5. Bakwele. horticulturalists in southeastern cameroon. African Study Monographs, 47:59-72.
6. Bodmer R, Fang T, Puertas P, Antunez M, Chota K, Bodmer W. Impacts of climate change on wildlife conservation in the Samiria River basin of the Pacaya-Samiria National Reserve, Peru. *Funda Amazonia*, 2014.
7. Buttke DE, Decker DJ, Wild MA. The role of One Health in wildlife conservation: A challenge and opportunity. Journal of wildlife diseases. 2015; 51:1-8.
8. Carroll JP, Ellis-Felege SN, Palmer WE. Impacts of predators on northern bobwhites in the southeast. North American Wildlife and Natural Resources Conference, 2007.
9. Chase JM, Abrams PA, Grover JP, Diehl S, Chesson P, Holt RD *et al*. The interaction between predation and competition: a review and synthesis. Ecology Letters. 2002; 5:302-315.
10. Chesson P, Kuang JJ. The interaction between predation and competition. Nature, 2008; 456:235-238.
11. Conner RN, Rudolph DC. Forest habitat loss, fragmentation, and red-cockaded woodpecker populations. The Wilson Bulletin, 1991, 446-457.
12. Constantino P. Deforestation and hunting effects on wildlife across Amazonian indigenous lands. Ecology and Society, 2016; 21:1-10.
13. Creel S, Schuette P, Christianson D. Effects of predation risk on group size, vigilance, and foraging behavior in an African ungulate community. Behavioral Ecology, 2014, 50.
14. Czech B. Urbanization as a threat to biodiversity: Trophic theory, economic geography, and implications for conservation land acquisition, 2015.
15. Czech B, Krausman PR, Devers PK. Economic Associations among Causes of Species Endangerment in the United States Associations among causes of species endangerment in the United States reflect the integration of economic sectors, supporting the theory and evidence that economic growth proceeds at the competitive exclusion of nonhuman species in the aggregate. *BioScience*, 2000; 50:593-601.
16. Dale VH, Joyce LA, McNulty S, Neilson RP, Ayres MP, Flannigan MD *et al*. Climate change and forest disturbances: climate change can affect forests by altering the frequency, intensity, duration, and timing of fire, drought, introduced species, insect and pathogen outbreaks, hurricanes, windstorms, ice storms, or landslides. *BioScience*. 2001; 51(9):723-734.
17. Daszak P, Cunningham AA, Hyatt AD. Emerging infectious diseases of wildlife--threats to biodiversity and human health. Science. 2000; 287:443-449.
18. Dixo M, Metzger JP, Morgante JS, Zamudio KR. Habitat fragmentation reduces genetic diversity and connectivity among toad populations in the Brazilian Atlantic Coastal Forest. Biological Conservation, 2009; 142:1560-1569.
19. Engeman RM, Martin RE, Smith HT, Woolard J, Crady CK, Constantin B *et al*. Impact on predation of sea turtle nests when predator control was removed midway through the nesting season. Wildlife Research. 2006; 33:187-192.
20. Erwin KL. Wetlands and global climate change: the role of wetland restoration in a changing world. Wetlands Ecology and management. 2009; 17:71-84.
21. Farris ZJ, Golden CD, Karpanty S, Murphy A, Stauffer D, Ratelolahy F *et al*. Hunting, exotic carnivores, and habitat loss: anthropogenic effects on a native carnivore community, Madagascar. *PloS one*, 2015; 10:1-20.
22. Faulkner S. Urbanization impacts on the structure and function of forested wetlands. *Urban Ecosystems*, 2004; 7:89-106.
23. Faulkner SP. Forecasting the effects of land-use and climate change on wildlife communities and habitats in the lower Mississippi Valley (No. 2010-3112). US Geological Survey, 2010.
24. Fleming KK, Porter WF. Effect of landscape features and fragmentation on wild turkey dispersal. In Proceedings of the National Wild Turkey Symposium. 2005; 9:175-183.
25. Hanski I. Habitat loss, the dynamics of biodiversity, and a perspective on conservation. AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment. 2011; 40:248-255.
26. Hoving CL, Lee YM, Badra PJ, Klatt BJ. Changing climate, changing wildlife a vulnerability assessment of 400 species of greatest conservation need and game species in Michigan. Michigan Department of Natural Resources Wildlife Division Report, 2013, 35-64.
27. Huijbers CM, Schlacher TA, Schoeman DS, Weston MA, Connolly RM. Urbanisation alters processing of marine carrion on sandy beaches. Landscape and Urban Planning, 2013; 119:1-8.
28. Ito TY, Lhagvasuren B, Tsunekawa A, Shinoda M, Takatsuki S, Buuveibaatar B *et al*. Fragmentation of the habitat of wild ungulates by anthropogenic barriers in Mongolia. *PloS one*, 2013; 8(2):e56995.
29. Johnson DH. Habitat fragmentation effects on birds in grasslands and wetlands: a critique of our knowledge. Great Plains Research, 2001, 211-231.
30. Jung K, Threlfall CG. Urbanisation and its effects on bats—a global meta-analysis. In Bats in the Anthropocene: Conservation of Bats in a Changing World. Springer International Publishing, 2016, 13-33.
31. Koenig J, Shine R, Shea G. The dangers of life in the city: patterns of activity, injury and mortality in suburban lizards (Tiliqua scincoides). Journal of Herpetology. 2002; 36:62-68.
32. MacDonald MA. The role of corridors in biodiversity conservation in production forest landscapes: a literature review. *Tasforests-Hobart*, 2003; 14:41-52.
33. Mawdsley JR, O'malley ROBIN, Ojima DS. A review of climate-change adaptation strategies for wildlife management and biodiversity conservation. Conservation Biology, 2009; 23:1080-1089.
34. Městková L, Romportl D, Albrecht T, Chuman T, Červený J. The effect of landscape fragmentation and

habitat variables on nest predation of artificial ground nest in the Bohemian Forest. Silva Gabreta, 2012; 18:109-121.
35. Muchhala N, Johnsen S, Smith SD. Competition for hummingbird pollination shapes flower color variation in Andean Solanaceae. *Evolution*, 2014; 68:2275-2286.
36. Nasi R, Taber A, Van Vliet N. Empty forests, empty stomachs? Bushmeat and livelihoods in the Congo and Amazon Basins. International Forestry Review. 2011; 13:355-368.
37. Naylor RL, Goldburg RJ, Primavera JH, Kautsky N, Beveridge MC, Clay J *et al*. Effect of aquaculture on world fish supplies. Nature. 2000; 405(6790):1017-1024.
38. Ndeereh D, Obanda V, Mijele D, Gakuya F. January. Medicine in the wild: strategies towards healthy and breeding wildlife populations in Kenya. In The George Wright Forum. 2012; 29:1-100.
39. Ordeñana MA, Crooks KR, Boydston EE, Fisher RN, Lyren LM, Siudyla S *et al*. Effects of urbanization on carnivore species distribution and richness. Journal of Mammalogy. 2010; 91:1322-1331.
40. Riley SP, Sauvajot RM, Fuller TK, York EC, Kamradt DA, Bromley C *et al*. Effects of urbanization and habitat fragmentation on bobcats and coyotes in southern California. Conservation Biology, 2003; 17:566-576.
41. Rowland D, Blackie RR, Powell B, Djoudi H, Vergles E, Vinceti B *et al*. Direct contributions of dry forests to nutrition: a review. International Forestry Review. 2015; 17:45-53.
42. Seress G, Liker A. Habitat urbanization and its effects on birds. *Acta Zoologica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae*, 2015; 61:373-408.
43. Spier S, Fontaine JJ. The Effects of Urbanization on Fear in Wildlife, 2015.
44. Stephens SE, Koons DN, Rotella JJ, Willey DW. Effects of habitat fragmentation on avian nesting success: a review of the evidence at multiple spatial scales. Biological Conservation. 2004; 115:101-110.
45. Theobald DM, Miller JR, Hobbs NT. Estimating the cumulative effects of development on wildlife habitat. Landscape and urban planning, 1997; 39:25-36.
46. Turley C. Impacts of climate change on ocean acidification. Marine Climate Change Impacts Annual Report Card, 2006.
47. Underhill JE, Angold PG. Effects of roads on wildlife in an intensively modified landscape. Environmental Reviews, 1999; 8:21-39.
48. Valdovinos E. Effect of Enrichment Items on the Aggression and Competition Levels of Captive Orangutans. California Anthropologist, 2001; 28(1):1.
49. Vickery J, Carter N, Fuller RJ. The potential value of managed cereal field margins as foraging habitats for farmland birds in the UK. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 2002; 89:41-52.
50. Weatherford JL, Myster RW. Effects of species, water, and nitrogen on competition among three Prairie Grasses. Prairie Naturalist, 2001; 43:2011.
51. Wiegand T, Revilla E, Moloney KA. Effects of habitat loss and fragmentation on population dynamics. *Conservation Biology*, 2005; 19:108-121.
52. Wilfred P. Towards sustainable wildlife management areas in Tanzania. Tropical Conservation Science. 2010; 3:103-116.